



**CSR**

CENTRE FOR SOCIETY & RELIGION

**EA INFO**

**50 Months Since the Easter Attacks**

# **THE HIGHLIGHTS OF 11 MAIN EASTER ATTACKS COURT CASES**

**(1<sup>ST</sup> JANUARY 2021- 21<sup>ST</sup> JUNE 2023)**

**Centre for Society and Religion  
Research Group**

## **Executive Summary**

The Easter attacks that took place on the 21<sup>st</sup> of April 2019, was the biggest post-war massacre in Sri Lanka and took the lives of about 287 persons and injured around 600 people. It caused massive destruction in three Christian churches, three major hotels, a guest house, and a residence. Many survivors and families of victims continue to suffer from the consequences and seek justice. At least 40 foreign nationals and 45 children were reported to be among the dead. Investigators identified Zahran Hashim, one-time leader of the National Thowheed Jamaath, as the main suspect behind the execution of these coordinated attacks. In light of the above circumstances and to commemorate 50 months since the Easter attacks, the Centre for Society and Religion has taken the initiative to compile a report containing important details pertaining to the main cases that have been filed concerning the Easter Sunday attacks. This report is comprised of 11 cases concerning Easter Sunday attacks that were monitored closely and an overall synopsis of the progress of each of these cases is provided.

The criminal case bearing number HC (TAB) 2972 in Colombo High Court No.1 against 25 accused persons, including a person named Naufer Moulavi, who is allegedly the mastermind behind the Easter Sunday bombings, is considered to be the main criminal case relating to the Easter Sunday attacks. The case is heard before three judges (a Trial-at-Bar) and indictments containing 23,270 charges were served to the Accused on the 4th of October 2021. Currently, the indictments are continued to be read out. A Trial-at-Bar at the Colombo High Court served indictments on Former Inspector General of Police (IGP), Pujith Jayasundara, on the 1st of October 2021 in case number bearing HC (TAB) 2900/21 over criminal negligence in respect of the Easter Sunday attacks. On the 18th of February 2022, the Colombo High Court Trial-at-Bar unanimously made the order for him to be acquitted without calling for his defence. The case was appealed and is currently before the Supreme Court. Similarly, indictments were served to former Defence Secretary Hemasiri Fernando for criminal negligence relating to Easter attacks in a Trial-at-Bar at the Colombo High Court in the case bearing number HC (TAB) 2899/2021 on the 1st of October 2021. He was unanimously acquitted without calling for defence as well, but the case was appealed and is currently before the Supreme Court. In 2019 twelve Fundamental Rights applications (No. 163/19 with 165/19, 166/19, 184/19, 188/19, 191/19, 193/19, 195/19, 196/19, 197/19, 198/19, and 293/19) were filed relating to Easter attacks by Petitioners aggrieved by Easter attacks and they were taken up before a full bench of the Supreme Court. On the 12th of January 2023, the Supreme Court delivered the judgement with respect to all the above petitions filed by the Petitioners and

ordered the Respondents to pay compensation. The actions taken by the Government to comply with the judgement can be found on [reparations.gov.lk](http://reparations.gov.lk).

Case number HC 78/2021 was filed against Hejaaz Hizbullah for his alleged connection to Save the Pearls Charity, which had ties to one of the Easter attacks bombers. The case is still ongoing and the cross-examination is scheduled for the 14<sup>th</sup> of July 2023. Case number 188/2021 was filed against Lukman Moulavi, Mohammad Wazir Moulavi, and Sakil Khan Moulavi in relation to an alleged propagation of extremism in a Madrasa school in Puttalam. The date for the trial is scheduled for the 10<sup>th</sup> of July 2023. Case No. 23084/22 was a private plaint filed against former President Maithripala Sirisena in the Magistrate Court. In this case, the complainant has alleged that in failing to direct the Tri-forces and/or the Police to arrest Zahran Hashim and his followers and/or failing to carry out surveillance and/or failing to prevent harm and deaths caused during the Easter attacks the Accused has failed to discharge his legal duties as the Minister of Defence. This case is currently on hold until the order of the writ case. The Court of Appeal Case No. Writ 354/22 was filed in the Court of Appeal by the former President Maithripala Sirisena. This alleges the order made by the Magistrate Court in Case No. 23084/22, issuing summons on the former President requiring him to be present before the Fort Magistrates Court, is illegal, void, and has no effect in law. The case is ongoing and is currently fixed for arguments. In the Mawanella case bearing number HC 4485/21, eighteen charges were filed under the Prevention of Terrorism Act. This case was concluded on the 18<sup>th</sup> of January 2023 as all the Accused pleaded guilty. The case number Puttalam Tab/107/2021 was filed against Abu Hanifa Mohammadi Mufiz *alias* Mufiz *alias* Abu Dahida and 5 others concerning a training camp and explosives relating to the Easter attacks discovered in Vanathavilluwa. Currently, as there is a confession from the Accused, there is an inquiry that checks the credibility of the confession. Moreover, case number HC 148/2021 was filed against the poet Ahnaf Jeseem under the Prevention of Terrorism Act for his alleged extremist ideologies and is still ongoing.

The aforesaid cases were closely monitored by the Centre for Society and Religion and this detailed report is formed based on Trial Monitoring Reports of CSR complemented by Court Proceedings and other media reports. Additionally, a full list of the cases numbers and case details we received from the Attorney General's Department and the Police via RTI applications have been attached as annexures.

## Table of Contents

<b>Section 1: The Main Criminal Case against 25 Persons Accused of the Easter Sunday Bomb Attacks -HC (TAB) 2972/21 .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Section 2: The Case against the Former IGP for Failing to Prevent the Easter Attacks – HC (TAB) 2900/21 .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Section 3: The Case against the Former Defence Secretary for Failing to Prevent the Easter Attacks - HC (TAB) 2899/2021 .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Section 4: The Fundamental Rights Cases Related to the Easter Attacks – SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019 .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Section 5: The Case against Hejaaz Hizbullah and Others HC 78/2021 .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Section 6: The Case against 3 Moulavis- HC 188/2021.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Section 7: The Private Complaint against Former President Maithripala Sirisena - 23084/22.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Section 8: The Writ Case Filed by the Former President Maithripala Sirisena in the Court of Appeal - Writ 354/22.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Section 9: The Mawanella Buddha Statue Vandalism Case - HC 4485/21 .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Section 10: The Vanathavilluwa Training Camp and Explosives Case - PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Section 11: The Case against Ahnaf Jeseem- HC 148/2021 .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Annexure 1: .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Annexure 2: .....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Annexure 3: .....</b>	<b>48</b>

## Section 1: The Main Criminal Case against 25 Persons Accused of the Easter Sunday Bomb Attacks -HC (TAB) 2972/21

The case filed against 25 Accused, including Naufer Moulavi, on 23,270 charges, including conspiracy to execute the Easter Sunday terrorist attacks and aiding and abetting the same,<sup>1</sup> was called on the 12<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 before a Special Trial-at-Bar in Colombo.<sup>2</sup> The bench comprises of High Court Judges, Damith Thotawatte, Amal Ranaraja, and Navaratne Marasinghe. Additional Solicitor General, Ms. Haripriya Jayasundara, submitted to the Court that further time is required to translate the indictment into Tamil and English.<sup>3</sup> The Bar Association of Sri Lanka had appointed 9 Counsels who were well versed in Tamil, and those Counsels were appointed as appropriate to represent the Accused who did not have representation before.<sup>4</sup> Thus, all 25 Accused now have representation by lawyers.<sup>5</sup>

Although the Counsel appearing for the 22<sup>nd</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, and 24<sup>th</sup> Accused made an application for bail, the Additional Solicitor General informed the Court that it would be supported generally after hearing the bail applications of the other Accused.<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, the Court informed the Counsels appearing for the Accused that all of them could make applications for bail on the next date.<sup>7</sup> The Additional Solicitor General informed the Court that on a previous occasion, a copy of the brief had been handed over to a President's Counsel appearing for the aggrieved party.<sup>8</sup>

On the 03<sup>rd</sup> of March 2022, when the case was taken up, the bench directed that all the parties to the case be summoned for a pre-trial hearing.<sup>9</sup> The bench also ordered that the suspects be remanded again and directed the authorities of the prisons where they are being held to take steps to present the Accused for the pre-trial hearing which will be held before the commencement of the trial.<sup>10</sup> Tamil and English translations of the indictments were presented to the Court on the day by the Additional Solicitor General.<sup>11</sup> Several lawyers requested bail for the Accused from the Court, but were required to ask the Attorney General for permission to obtain bail and if it was not allowed, to inform the Court again.<sup>12</sup> The case was refixed to be called on the 12<sup>th</sup> of May to consider whether all requirements have been completed to commence the trial.<sup>13</sup>

On the 03<sup>rd</sup> of October 2022, the Additional Solicitor General presented written submissions objecting to the bail requests of the Accused. Flash Drives and DVDs which contained the computer evidence were handed over to the Court and Defence by the Additional Solicitor General. She also sought the Court to give sufficient time to the Defence to examine the computer evidence as those storage devices contained a large amount of data related to the case.<sup>14</sup> Considering the circumstances, the Trial-at-Bar granted 45 days to the Defence from the next hearing to examine the computer evidence. The Defence also filed bail applications seeking bail to all the Accused and its order will be given at the next hearing.<sup>15</sup> A forensic medical report and a bail request have been submitted to the Court and to the Attorney General's Department on behalf of the 13<sup>th</sup> Accused. A specialist psychiatrist has been submitted to summon a psychiatric report regarding the 13<sup>th</sup> Accused. The case was scheduled to be called on the 24<sup>th</sup> of November 2022 at 2 p.m. for a further pre-trial hearing and bail order.<sup>16</sup>

On the 24<sup>th</sup> of November 2022, the matter was fixed for pre-trial. The Prosecution had given all defence lawyers a video disc with the proposed admissions.<sup>17</sup> The lawyers informed the Court that while some facts are admitted others are contested. The Additional Solicitor General informed the Court that Defence lawyers are welcome to visit the Attorney General's Department at any time if they wish to discuss. Additional Solicitor General requested permission to read the indictments and present to the Court how they are intended to be understood.<sup>18</sup> Accordingly, the Court granted permission to the aforesaid. The Additional Solicitor General informed the Court that if the said document is printed, it will expend around 1900 pages per each Accused and therefore, it may be sent via e-mail to the Attorneys-at-Law or given on a CD.<sup>19</sup> Moreover, the Court made an order requiring the Registrar of the Batticaloa Magistrate's Court to hand over the relevant case articles to this Court. The Prosecution informed the Court that Witnesses No. 1, Prof. Rohan Gunarathne, and No. 2, Suresh Salay, of the Prosecution will be called to lead evidence. The matter was fixed for trial on the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> of January 2023.<sup>20</sup>

On the 4<sup>th</sup> of January 2023, the lawyer who was appearing for the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 10<sup>th</sup> Accused made an application to the Court stating that in order to come up with a defence and to have a fair trial, documents including the Presidential Commission report is needed.<sup>21</sup> However, the Court rejected this application. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Witness, Suresh Salay, was temporarily released. As the 14<sup>th</sup> Accused was not produced to the Court since he had Tuberculosis, Court could not proceed further.<sup>22</sup>

On the 5<sup>th</sup> of January 2023, after considering the written submissions of the Accused on bail, the Court denied bail for all the Accused.<sup>23</sup> On the 1<sup>st</sup> of February 2023, the Additional Solicitor General suggested that the lawyers of the Accused meet at the Attorney General's Department in order to discuss about the admissions so that the case may be expedited, which the lawyers agreed to. The dates were fixed for the 6<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, and 10<sup>th</sup> of February 2023.<sup>24</sup> The Magazine prison informed the Court on the 28<sup>th</sup> of February 2023 that the 17<sup>th</sup> Accused died while getting treatment inside the prison on the 5<sup>th</sup> of February 2023. The lawyers that appeared on behalf of the Attorney General's department requested permission from the Court to amend the indictments because of some typographical errors and the death of the 17<sup>th</sup> Accused.<sup>25</sup>

On the 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2023, the reading of indictments in Sinhala and Tamil began using two screens that displayed the indictments in Sinhala on one and the Tamil translations on the other.<sup>26</sup> The reading of these indictments continued on the 24<sup>th</sup> of May and 2<sup>nd</sup> of June. As of now, 4520 indictments have been read and all the accused have pleaded not guilty for these indictments.<sup>27</sup> Further reading of the indictments has been scheduled for the **11<sup>th</sup> of July, the 2<sup>nd</sup> of August, and the 7<sup>th</sup> of August 2023 at 2 p.m.**<sup>28</sup>

## **Section 2: The Case against the Former IGP for Failing to Prevent the Easter Attacks – HC (TAB) 2900/21**

On the 18<sup>th</sup> of January 2022, the case against the former Inspector General of Police Mr. Pujith Jayasundara, indicted, among other things, for the failure to prevent the Easter terror attacks and the neglect of duties,<sup>29</sup> was called again before the Colombo High Court Trial-at-Bar<sup>30</sup>. On this date, the Senior Deputy Inspector General in charge of the Western Province, Mr. Deshabandu Tennakoon, gave evidence in this case<sup>31</sup>. Mr. Deshabandu Tennakoon mentioned that the information referred to by the State Intelligence Service to the National Intelligence Department on 9<sup>th</sup> April 2019 had become just another piece of information referred from the top to the bottom<sup>32</sup>. The Senior Deputy Inspector General stated that the information obtained regarding the Easter attacks was not conclusive.<sup>33</sup> He further stated if all responsible departments relating to intelligence information had jointly discussed and taken prompt action, they would have been able to prevent the sequence of Easter attacks to a great extent<sup>34</sup>. The witness had asked for leave for three days and was on leave on the 21<sup>st</sup> of April<sup>35</sup>. The Deputy

Inspector General for the South Western province was therefore appointed to cover for the witness during leave<sup>36</sup>. Further, the witness stated that he arrived at his area of jurisdiction after he became aware of the Easter incident, although he was on leave<sup>37</sup>.

On the 18<sup>th</sup> of January 2022, the witness, the Senior Deputy Inspector General in charge of the Western Province, Mr. Deshabandu Tennakoon, was subjected to cross-examination (by the Counsel for the Defendant)<sup>38</sup>. Therein the witness identified a letter dated 9<sup>th</sup> April 2019 sent to the Inspector General of Police<sup>39</sup>. It was disclosed that the former Inspector General of Police had sent this document to four officers<sup>40</sup>. The witness admitted that he received this information because the former Inspector General of Police had notified the relevant departments<sup>41</sup>.

When this case was called on the 19<sup>th</sup> of January 2022, the Prosecution called a witness in order to produce the originals of 38 documents which had been marked as evidence and included in the intelligence reports sent by the former Chief of the State Intelligence Service, Mr. Nalintha Jayawardena, to the Accused, Mr. Pujith Jayasundara<sup>42</sup>. While the originals were marked through the witness, the Court observed that some documents were incomplete and contrary to each other<sup>43</sup>. It is reported that a judge at the Trial-at-Bar, Mr. Aditya Patabandige, had stated in open Court that when an investigation is carried out, the police and the Attorney General's Department must take steps to produce the relevant complete reports to Court<sup>44</sup>. It is reported that Judge Aditya Patabandige had also stated that this would lead to a breakage of the trust placed by the general public upon the criminal investigation procedures<sup>45</sup>. Furthermore, it is reported that upon inspection of the documents marked by the Prosecution in this case, the presiding Judge of the Special Trial-at-Bar, Mr. Namal Balalle, stated in open Court that the Prosecution should not have filed this case<sup>46</sup>.

On the 20<sup>th</sup> of January 2022, when this case was again called before the Colombo High Court Trial-at-Bar<sup>47</sup>, the Court Registrar was called before the Court to give evidence on oath on behalf of the Prosecution<sup>48</sup>. After the evidence and documents of the Prosecution were marked, the case was fixed for 18<sup>th</sup> February 2022 in order to deliver an order as to whether the Defence will be called or not<sup>49</sup>.

This case was called on the 18<sup>th</sup> of February 2022 before the Colombo High Court Trial-at-Bar and the order was made for the former Inspector General of Police, Mr. Pujith Jayasundara, to be acquitted without calling for his defence<sup>50</sup> by the Trial-at-Bar unanimously<sup>51</sup>. It is important to pay attention to the matters set out by the Court in its written order made on this day.



❖ **Summary of the main matters identified in The Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka Vs. Pujith Senadhi Bandara Jayasundara HC (TAB) 2900/2021 (order pertaining to the calling of the Defence)**

- While there were 855 charges against Mr. Pujith Jayasundara, who was the Accused in this case, the said charges must be read with Section 102 of the Penal Code<sup>52</sup>. Accordingly, the real question is, although there are 855 charges in this case, whether there is **abetment** in terms of Section 102 of the Penal Code.
- In considering the legal position of ‘if the act is abetted’, it is important to note that an abettor is one who “intentionally aids, by any **act** or **illegal omission**, the doing of that thing”<sup>53</sup>. Accordingly, the connection of illegal omission to abetment is clear.
- It is stated in the written order of the Court that it is the position of the bench that there was no compelling and cogent evidence to prove that Mr. Pujith Jayasundara was in a substantial position of responsibility in order to consider him guilty of omission<sup>54</sup>. It is not sufficient that the Prosecution merely states that there has been an omission, it is necessary that compelling and cogent evidence be produced to prove what was expected to be done and what the Accused has omitted to do out of the same or the responsibility that has been omitted<sup>55</sup>. Here, the Prosecution has not taken steps to dispose of that burden and has failed<sup>56</sup>.
- Although about 1200 witnesses have been named in this case, only about 6 witnesses had been called to give evidence at trial<sup>57</sup>, which is a very small number.
- It is stated in the written order, “...**We believe that the Attorney General should have thought twice before producing the information report in this case.**”<sup>58</sup>”
- It is stated in the written order, “...It is our position that in the aforementioned factual and legal circumstances, although the Attorney General had the opportunity to consider whether to proceed with the charges or not at least after the main witnesses had concluded their evidence, the Prosecution has not taken advantage of the same.”<sup>59</sup>”
- It has been stated as follows in the order of the learned High Court Judge Mr. A.K.M Patabandige, “...**Filing action against any person (without evidence) merely on the basis of sensitivity of the issue cannot be approved.**”<sup>60</sup>”
- Accordingly, by this order pertaining to the calling of defence the three-judge bench has decided that the Accused Mr. Pujith Jayasundara be acquitted of all charges without calling for his defence<sup>61</sup>.

- Learned High Court Judge Mr. A.K.M. Patabandige in his order has hinted that there is an omission on the part of former Chief of State Intelligence Service Mr. Nilantha Jayawardena (Prosecution's Witness No. 1). For further details regarding the same, refer to Paragraphs 231, 232, 233 and 235 of the order.

Currently, the case has been appealed and is before the Supreme Court. It was called on the 13<sup>th</sup> of March 2023, but it was postponed to the **4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, and 12<sup>th</sup> of July 2023**.<sup>62</sup>

### **Section 3: The Case against the Former Defence Secretary for Failing to Prevent the Easter Attacks - HC (TAB) 2899/2021**

On the 18<sup>th</sup> of January 2022, when this case was taken up for trial before the Colombo High Court Trial-at-Bar<sup>36</sup>, the Court Registrar gave evidence<sup>63</sup> and the postmortem reports and the reports of the Judicial Medical Officer were marked one by one as evidence by the Prosecution.<sup>64</sup>

On 19<sup>th</sup> January 2022, when this case was taken up before the Trial-at-Bar<sup>65</sup>, the postmortem reports and the government analyst's reports were produced to Court.<sup>66</sup> Mr. Sudarshana De Silva, the Deputy Solicitor General appearing on behalf of the Prosecution, informed Court that the Prosecution has concluded calling witnesses on their behalf.<sup>67</sup> On this day the Trial-at-Bar informed that the order in the case against the former Defence Secretary, Mr. Hemasiri Fernando, in respect of the Easter attacks as to whether he will be acquitted or whether his defence will be called will be delivered on 18<sup>th</sup> February 2022<sup>68</sup>.

This case was called on 18<sup>th</sup> February 2022 before the Colombo High Court Trial-at-Bar and an order was made for former Defence Secretary Mr. Hemasiri Fernando to be acquitted without calling for his defence<sup>69</sup> by the three-judge bench of the High Court Trial-at-Bar unanimously<sup>70</sup>. It is important to pay attention to the matters set out by the Court in its written order made on this day.

❖ **Summary of the main matters identified in The Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka Vs. Hemasiri Fernando HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (order pertaining to the calling of the Defence)**

- While there were 855 charges against Mr. Hemasiri Fernando who was the Accused in this case, the said charges must be read with Section 102 of the Penal Code<sup>71</sup>. Accordingly, the real question is although there are 855 charges in this case, whether there is **abetment** in terms of Section 102 of the Penal Code.
- In considering the legal position of ‘if the act is abetted<sup>72</sup>’, it is important to note that an abettor is one who “intentionally aids, by any **act** or **illegal omission**, the doing of that thing”<sup>73</sup>.
- It is stated in the written order of the Court that it is the position of the bench that there was no compelling and cogent evidence to prove that Mr. Hemasiri Fernando was in a substantial position of responsibility in order to consider him guilty of omission<sup>74</sup>. It is not sufficient that the Prosecution merely states that there has been an omission, it is necessary that compelling and cogent evidence be produced to prove what was expected to be done and what the Accused has omitted to do out of the same or the responsibility that has been omitted<sup>75</sup>. Here, the Prosecution has not taken steps to dispose of that burden and has failed<sup>76</sup>.
- In this case, the statement made by Mr. Hemasiri Fernando the media was also discussed. Although the statement “...Well we knew certain things were happening...” was made by the Accused to the media<sup>77</sup>, the Prosecution has not convinced Court that it refers to the attacks set out in the charges.<sup>78</sup>
- According to the evidence that has been produced it is observed that the State Intelligence Service has failed to find any sufficient conclusive intelligence about the attacks relevant to this case<sup>79</sup>.
- Although about 1200 witnesses have been named in this case, only about 7 witnesses had been called to give evidence at trial<sup>80</sup>, which is a very small number.
- It is stated in the written order, “...**We believe that the Attorney General should have thought twice before producing the information report in this case.**”<sup>81</sup>”
- It is stated in the written order, “It is our position that in the aforementioned factual and legal circumstances, although the Attorney General had the opportunity to consider

whether to proceed with the charges or not at least after the first witness had concluded his evidence, the Prosecution has not taken advantage of the same.<sup>82</sup>”

- It has been stated as follows in the order of the learned High Court Judge Mr. A.K.M Patabandige, “...**Filing action against any person (without evidence) merely on the basis of sensitivity of the issue cannot be approved.**”<sup>83</sup> “...According to the evidence produced by the Prosecution themselves I state that it is unjust for political authorities to put the responsibility on public officers such as the Accused who functioned as the Secretary to the Ministry of Defence after an incident has occurred instead of creating an environment that allows them to make independent decisions.”<sup>84</sup> “Taking the evidence led by the Prosecution into consideration, I decide that there is no evidence produced to prove any omission on the part of the Accused.”<sup>85</sup> Accordingly, the Prosecution in its case before Court has failed to prove a mere omission let alone a criminal omission.
- Accordingly, by this order pertaining to the calling of Defence, the three-judge bench has decided that the Accused Mr. Hemasiri Fernando be acquitted of all charges without calling for his defence<sup>86</sup>.
- Learned High Court Judge Mr. A.K.M. Patabandige in his order has hinted that there is an omission on the part of former Chief of State Intelligence Service Mr. Nilantha Jayawardena (Prosecution’s Witness No. 1). For further details regarding the same, refer to Paragraphs 245 and 246 of the order.

Currently, the case has been appealed and is before the Supreme Court. It was called on the 13<sup>th</sup> of March 2023, but it was postponed to the **4<sup>th</sup>,5<sup>th</sup>,11<sup>th</sup>, and 12<sup>th</sup> of July 2023.**<sup>87</sup>

**Section 4: The Fundamental Rights Cases Related to the Easter Attacks – SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR NO. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019**

On the 9<sup>th</sup> of June 2022, the following evidence was considered. It was observed that following the general election in 2015, Mr. Ranil Wickremesinghe was elected as Prime Minister of the newly formed national government from the 4<sup>th</sup> of September 2015 to the 26<sup>th</sup> of October 2018.

On the 20<sup>th</sup> of October, he withdrew from the national government. His Excellency President purported to remove the Prime Minister from office by gazette notification and appointed Mahinda Rajapaksa as the Prime Minister. The following were alleged by Mr. Ranil Wickremesinghe;

“ ...Throughout this event, I maintain that the conduct of His Excellency President is illegal. I also endeavour to establish that the purportedly establish new government did not command the confidence of the majority of Parliament. A series of petitions were filed in this Court challenging pupated dissolution of the Parliament. Your Lordships’ Court made interim relief restraining the functioning of the de facto government led by Hon. Mahinda Rajapaksa. During this period, the President made several public statements to the effect that he would not stay in the office one more day if Ranil Wickremesinghe was reappointed as Prime Minister.”

Moreover, it was alleged that the DIG Nalaka de Silva had obtained an open warrant against Zahran. After the open warrant was issued on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of July 2018, Zahran was missing and the DIG could not find him in Kattankudi, Huliyapitiya, or Kurunagala. The DIG informed Interpol to trace him as there were doubts about whether he went abroad. Thereafter, the CID became aware that he was in India. The file was prepared and forwarded by the TID to Attorney General’s Department requesting that legal action be taken against the NTJ Leader. On the 25<sup>th</sup> of October 2018, Mr. Nalaka de Silva was arrested over allegations of a VIP Assassination plot. <sup>88</sup>

On the 26<sup>th</sup> of July 2022, Counsels for Petitioner and Counsels for Respondents attended Court to declare their position on whether Article 35(1) of the Constitution can be applied to this matter against the incumbent President Ranil Wickremesinghe. All Counsels agreed to make oral submission pertaining to this legal fact on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of August. However, President’s Counsel Faizer Mustafa was unable to make an appearance on that date. Therefore, the Court directed that his submissions be made on the 27<sup>th</sup> of July 2022. Petitions of the Petitioners, including the Bar association of Sri Lanka, were filed to prosecute, hold responsible and claim compensation for the victims from those directly or indirectly responsible for the terrorist attacks. President’s Counsel Saliya Peris and President’s Counsel Sanjeeva Jayawardhana raised objections stating that provisions with regard to immunity under Article 35 of the Constitution do not apply to the former Prime Minister Ranil Wickremesinghe. The matter was scheduled to be called on the 02<sup>nd</sup> of August 2022 for further hearing. <sup>89</sup>

On the 2<sup>nd</sup> of August 2022,<sup>90</sup> President's Counsel Saliya Peris made his submission as follows. He stated that under Article 35(1) of the Constitution, no proceeding shall be instituted or continued in any Court or tribunal against any person holding office as President in respect of anything done or omitted to be done by him either in his official or private capacity. The learned President's Counsel also drew the attention of the Court to the proviso of Article 35(1) of the Constitution. He submitted to the Court that in determining whether this action could proceed, Article 35(1) of the Constitution and the proviso thereto should be interpreted in light of the 20<sup>th</sup> Amendment.<sup>91</sup>

On the 4<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022, the submission was continued from the last hearing. In page 109 of the dossier, there is a document marked A9, a letter by Mr. Sisira Mendis addressed to the IGP, which was mentioned in Court. The letter included the details about the intel information from SIS regarding National Thawheed Jamath (NTJ) associates planning to attack several churches and a certain foreign mission. A contemporaneous document on Page 157 of the dossier, which was an endorsement made by Mr. Sisira Mendis dispatched to take immediate action concerning the attacks, was also mentioned.

The Senior Deputy Solicitor General (SDSG) appearing for the State mentioned another small dossier that was already submitted to the Judges. The 1<sup>st</sup> synopsis of the second paragraph of a photocopied page in that small dossier contained the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code. The SDSG quoted Section 107 and subsections 1,2,3 to prove the point that the Police should take necessary action to prevent a cognizable offence. Section 156 of the Police Ordinance was also mentioned in this regard. The SDSG also mentioned the UN resolution 17 of 1979 for Law Enforcement Officials by the Committee of Nations to prove the point that 'Maximum ability should be used to prevent a crime'. The SDSG, when furthering his argument told that the usage of words in the resolution "Interpose" contains vital meaning as far as prevention is concerned. It means putting forth the intervention to prevent a crime.

The SDSG contended that Sisira Mendis and Nilantha Jayawardene acted in a reasonable manner in an administrative sense by transmitting the information to necessary officials. This point of correctness or reasonableness of a public officer was further proven by mentioning the *AP province V Winsbury*.

"The criteria of police are to act on information which can be perceived by senses. It was not beta, alpha, or any other sign languages, but the right facts that were transmitted" said the SDSG. He also said that the right decision has been made by Sisira Mendis on the input made

by Nilantha Jayawardene. And then he mentioned the affidavit by Nilantha Jayawardene dated 9<sup>th</sup> April 2019, in which he mentioned four individuals who were key persons in the attacks namely, Zahran, Shahid, Milhan, and Rilwan.

When the Judge asked what actions were taken by the IGP, the SDSG mentioned the document marked R4A on the 126<sup>th</sup> page of the dossier. The document contains four correspondences made by the IGP to the following recipients: Senior Deputy Inspector of Police (SDIG) (Western province), SDIG (Crimes and STF), SDIG (Special Protection Range), and the Director of Central Investigation Department (CID). Since the document is a composite one, the SDSG contended that only a summarized table is given in the dossier. Only Priyal Dasanayake replied to the letter by taking action of alerting VVIPs about the information, which the SDSG contended that he did not derelict from his duty. The SDSG also mentioned that according to this, the point is proven that the information given by Sisira Mendis is in fact actionable.

And then the Judge asked what could have been done by the IGP more than this and the SDSG replied that given the imminency of the matter, what he did was not enough, and obviously the matter cannot be tackled by sending out few letters. Also, the long-term acts of Nilantha Jayawardene such as placing concept notes and imminent responses of him to necessary officials did demand action from higher officials. Nilantha Jayawardene did not stop right there. Then he followed up on the incident that took place on the 16<sup>th</sup> of April 2019 in Kattankudy where a motorcycle exploded. Nilantha Jayawardena suspected it could be a dry-run and rehearsal for the attacks and properly reported the incident.

The Judge asked, “In normal circumstances, how are the letters to these officials given?” The SDSG said that they are hand-delivered, but there is no evidence to prove this fact. And then the SDSG walked the Judges through a document marked R13 which included the affidavit of Nilantha Jayawardena that explains the sequence of actions taken by him from the 4<sup>th</sup> of April 2019. He sent a letter requesting to arrest those who were involved in the ‘motorcycle incident’ which was accepted by the IGP, according to his affidavit. Mentioning this, the SDSG told the Judges that all these items are circumstantial evidence to prove Nilantha Jayawardena’s acts to prevent the attack.

The SDSG contended the fact that IGP’s (wrong) serial numbering to the correspondences he received from his subordinates and only keeping them in the documentation (not acting upon it) is a dereliction of his duties eviscerated from the state and let the state become the victim.

And then the SDSG mentioned it as the ‘Principle of evisceration’ and the Judge asked whether there is a legal principle like that. The SDSG replied that it is a metaphorical term to suggest the removal of duties and also, stated that the wrong serial numbering of the letters says that the IGP did not understand the weight of the content.

The SDSG told the Court that Nilantha Jayawardena had alerted the higher officials regarding the attack with names, passport numbers, addresses, telephone numbers, etc. Page 42 of the dossier contains a list of 42 men provided by Nilantha Jayawardena who subscribed to religious extremism. In another instance, on the 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2019, a letter was sent to DIG Seneviratne containing 129 names and other details of people who subscribed to al-Qaeda-like religious extremism who were members of both National Thawheed Jamath and Jamath-e-Islami. Altogether, 97 reports were submitted to the IGP and 11 reports to the Secretary of Defence (SoD). The SDSG stated that those were no reports of random incidents or isolated ones but a series of documentation.

And then the SDSG mentioned WhatsApp screenshots sent by Nilantha Jayawardena to the SoD, IGP, and SDIG-CID on the 20<sup>th</sup> of April 2019. A message to the IGP was sent around 4.43 p.m. This was further substantiated/corroborated by the affidavit of the SoD dated 18<sup>th</sup> April 2019. The same message was sent to the SoD around 6.02 p.m. The IGP replied ‘Well received’ and the SASG asked the honourable Courts whether this is the conduct expected as a rational response when he got information about an imminent attack. Nilantha Jayawardena did send the message to the SDIG too. He also followed up with a call to the SoD at around 5 p.m. on the 20<sup>th</sup> of April 2019. Mentioning that the SASG told the Judges that there were three affidavits provided by the SoD with contradictory positions. In one of the affidavits, the SoD said that he did not receive such a message.

And then the Judge asked whether the statement of the SoD regarding not being informed is in reference to the initial information or the development of incidents. And the SDSG replied saying “What better notice one could get better than the input of a WhatsApp message” after quoting all the correspondences made to the SoD. “Well received” and “discussed the matter with DIG” (according to the affidavit of the SoD regarding the 21<sup>st</sup> of April attack) does not suffice reasonable action, said the SDSG. Further, he mentioned that Priyalal Dasanayake’s act of informing/alerting all the VVIPs did not protect the larger community. “The simplest measure like a bag checker could have been employed,” said the SDSG mentioning the incident in Katuwapitiya church where the bomber was seen carrying a heavy bag.



The SDSG stated that Nilantha Jayawardena became the primus inter pares (first among the equals) to act upon it. He stated that this information can be transmitted through many sources, but it was Nilantha Jayawardena who gathered them where others could also have gathered.

The SDSG referred to the document dated 24<sup>th</sup> April addressed to the director of the Terrorism Investigation Division (TID) after the question posed by the Judge asking what steps were taken after the attacks. The IGP was found faulted by the TID, SDSG said. He also stated that compared to the actions taken by Nilantha Jayawardena, there were no proper actions taken by both the Secretary of Defence and the IGP. The matter was adjourned to 1.00 p.m. on the same date.<sup>92</sup>

On the 5<sup>th</sup> of October 2022, both parties were directed to file their written submissions on the petitions within three weeks by the Supreme Court. The petitions were filed by Nandana Sirimanna, a father who lost his two children in the bombing, businessman Janath Vidanage, three Catholic priests including Sarath Iddamalgoda and Moditha Ekanayake, a lawyer who was a victim in the Shangri-La bombing and others. Former IGP Pujith Jayasundara, former Defence Secretary Hemasiri Fernando, former President Maithripala Sirisena, former Prime Minister Ranil Wickremesinghe, the then Cabinet, and the Attorney General have been cited as the Respondents in the petitions. The petitions allege that the Respondents did not act to prevent the Easter attacks despite intelligence that a terrorist attack was being carried out by a group of Muslim extremists. The petitions, therefore, seek an order to take legal action against them for their negligence.<sup>93</sup>

The SASG appeared for the Attorney General's Department and based his submissions on illegal omission and state liability. He referred to the letter sent by the Inspector General of the Police (IGP) to the three-member committee. The SASG mentioned that the correction as to the three-member committee was made in the dossier where originally it was mentioned as 'Parliamentary select committee'. The SASG referred to the question of Justice Aluwihare, which was raised on the previous date. The question was in addition to the letter of Priyalal Dasanayake, whether other Senior Deputy Inspector Generals of police (SDIG) sent letters to the IGP. In reference to this question, the SASG mentioned the document sent to the three-member committee, in which the letters sent by the SDIG, Nandhana Munasinghe, dated 10<sup>th</sup> April 2019 and SDIG Wickremesinghe to the IGP dated 11<sup>th</sup> April 2019 were annexed.<sup>94</sup>

Later, the SASG made submissions related to the legal impacts of illegal omission. For that, he referred to the California Law Review, titled 'A Causation Approach to Criminal Omissions'

written by Arthur Leavens, and mentioned extracts from pages 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, and 576.

Mentioning Section 107 of the Criminal Procedure Code, the SASG contended that the Police have the liability to 'interpose' crime. Then Justice Nawas posed a question of whether criminal law principles should be included in Fundamental Rights (FR) petitions. The SASG stated that the acts attract criminal liability, thus these standards must be used in FR petitions. And then the Judge asked whether there are any precedents. The SASG replied that the omission of duties had been considered in FR petitions. The Judge asked that the gravity of the offence that he submitted with regard to Mr. Hemasiri Fernando and Mr. Pujith Jayasundara be conferred to the other Respondents too. To which the SASG replied that the principle of 'preponderance of the evidence' should be applied.<sup>95</sup>

Further, the SASG put forth a question of whether the error of individuals can be transferred to the state in which the state itself is a victim. And also, raised the concern that if the state is found culpable, then it will be ostracized and stigmatized, not only in the local arena but also on the international stage. In proving the point that the state has been sensitive towards this matter, the SASG mentioned the affidavit of Udaya Ranjan Senaviratne in which it was mentioned that a minute of silence was commemorated in the cabinet on 22nd of April, one day after the attacks hit the island.<sup>96</sup>

Then he summarized his submission saying Mr. Nilantha Jayawardane did his duty by cultivating informants and reaping the information. He also mentioned that Priyalal Dasanayake too has done his duty assigned to him. At last, he mentioned that he is a grief-stricken person due to this massacre and therefore, he represents all the grief-stricken persons in the country.

And then President's Counsel Faizer Musthafa appeared for former President Maithripala Sirisena. He said that the command chain does not apply to the President as he was elected by the public. The Judge requested to submit a detailed written submission. Regarding 46th Respondent Ravindhra, the SDIG of CID, the stated that he was out of the country during the attacks. He attended a conference with Interpol. Also, the CID deals with matters related to intellectual property, etc. It was further stated that the CID was the first to reveal details about Zahran on the Mawanella Buddha Statue vandalism incident. Mentioning the letter sent on the

9th of April, the State Counsel contended that it was addressed to the director of CID and not the SDIG.<sup>97</sup>

Regarding the 47th Respondent Mr. Latheef, STF Commandant for organized crimes and narcotics range, was out of the country and went to France from the 1st to the 8th of April. And from the 17th to the 20th of April, he was assigned to a different duty. Also, he did not receive the WhatsApp text from Nilantha Jayawardena regarding the attack, according to the affidavit of Mr. Latheef. Soon after the attacks, the STF, according to the directions provided, immediately found the residences of the attackers. The STF gave security to the Indian High Commission and it was not yet substantiated that the High Commission was not attacked due to the security provided by the STF. With regards to the 53<sup>rd</sup> Respondent, Mr. Wickremesinghe, SDIG of central and Uva province, the Judge inquired whether he did not receive any information between the 4th to 9th of April. The State replied in the negative.<sup>98</sup>

On the 12<sup>th</sup> of January 2023, the Supreme Court delivered the judgment with respect to all the above petitions filed by the Petitioners. The Supreme Court ruled that the Respondents named in the Fundamental Rights petitions failed to prevent the Easter Sunday attacks, despite having credible information of an imminent attack and thereby had violated the Fundamental Rights of the Petitioners. Court ordered Former President **Maithripala Sirisena** to pay a compensation of **Rs. 100 million**, Former Police Chief **Pujith Jayasundara &** Former State Intelligence Services Chief **Nilantha Jayawardene** to pay a compensation of **Rs. 75 million each**, and Former Defence Secretary **Hemasiri Fernando** to pay a compensation of **Rs. 50 million**. Former National Intelligence Service Chief **Sisira Mendis** was ordered by the Court to pay a compensation of **Rs. 10 million**. The Court ordered the **State** to pay **Rs. 1 million** to the victims as compensation. The Supreme Court said that all these funds need to be collected and used to compensate the victims of the 2019 Easter Sunday attacks. The Court ordered that the State must take the necessary measures to compensate the victims. In addition, **the Supreme Court ordered to take disciplinary action against Former State Intelligence Services Chief Nilantha Jayawardene within 6 months**. The Attorney General was ordered to monitor and report to the Court on the progress of compensating the victims, and the action taken against Former State Intelligence Services Chief Nilantha Jayawardene. The actions taken by the Government to comply with the judgement can be found on [reparations.gov.lk](http://reparations.gov.lk).

## Section 5: The Case against Hejaaz Hizbullah and Others HC 78/2021

This case is against the Attorney-at-law Hejaaz Hizbullah for his alleged connection to the Save the Pearls Charity that had ties to one of the Easter attacks bombers.

On the 4<sup>th</sup> of October 2022, Deputy Solicitor General Mrs. Lakmini Girihagama and Deputy Solicitor General Mr. Sudarshana De Silva, who usually appear in this case on behalf of the Attorney General's Department, did not appear. Accordingly, State Counsel Ms. Nimesha De Alwis, appearing on behalf of the Attorney General's Department moved the Court for a further date. Therefore, the matter was scheduled to be called for further hearing on the 25<sup>th</sup> of November 2022. Moreover, during the investigation of this case, confidential statements made at the Office of Fort Magistrate by Witnesses No. 1 and 2 of the prosecution have been revealed to the media. Therefore, the Court made an order requiring the production of the first information containing the statements given to the CID.<sup>99</sup>

On the 23<sup>rd</sup> of January 2023, the lawyer for the 2<sup>nd</sup> Accused further cross-examined the Prosecution's first witness, Mohammed Nazar Mohammed Malik. He was also re-examined by Deputy Solicitor General, Sudharashana De Silva. Additionally, the judge issued summons to Prosecution's Witnesses no. 2, no. 46, no. 51, and no. 52.<sup>100</sup>

The Prosecution's Witness No. 2, a 19-year-old boy, concluded his evidence in chief on the 24<sup>th</sup> of March 2023. Additionally, on that day the Witness informed the Court that he was fasting for Ramazan and as he was feeling uncomfortable, the cross-examination was postponed to the 27<sup>th</sup> of March. Information related to Prosecution's witness No. 46 was amended and he was requested to be present to Court on the next date.<sup>101</sup> On the 27<sup>th</sup> of March 2023 the cross-examination of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Witness took place by the lawyer of the 1<sup>st</sup> Accused.<sup>102</sup>

On the 12<sup>th</sup> of May 2023, the 2<sup>nd</sup> Witness was absent and was not present in the Court. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Accused was absent as well as he had been hospitalized. As Court could not proceed, the date was refixed to the **14<sup>th</sup> of July** for further trial.<sup>103</sup>

## Section 6: The Case against 3 Moulavis- HC 188/2021

This case is related to an alleged propagation of extremism in a Madrasa school in Puttalam.

On the 25<sup>th</sup> of November 2022, Attorneys-at-Law appearing on behalf of the Accused moved Court to a further date as President's Counsel Maithree Gunarathna appearing for the Accused could not appear before as he was appearing before a different Court. Prosecution Witnesses No. 13 and 14 were present. The matter was refixed for the 17<sup>th</sup> of March 2023 for a pre-trial hearing.<sup>104</sup>

On the 5<sup>th</sup> of June 2023, the Court ordered Prosecution Witnesses No. 13 and 14 to be present on the next date, which is the **10<sup>th</sup> of July 2023**.<sup>105</sup>

## Section 7: The Private Complaint against Former President Maithripala Sirisena - 23084/22

This case is regarding a private complaint that was filed on the 16<sup>th</sup> of September 2022 against former President Maithripala Sirisena in the Magistrate Court.<sup>106</sup> Plaintiff has alleged that in failing to direct the Tri-forces and/or the Police to arrest Zahran Hashim and his followers and/or failing to carry out surveillance and/or failing to prevent harm and deaths caused during the Easter attacks, the Accused has failed to discharge his legal duties as the Minister of Defence. Therefore, the Plaintiff has initiated action in the Magistrate Court to hold the Accused guilty under sections 298 and 329 of the Penal Code for causing death by negligence and/or causing grievous hurt.<sup>107</sup> The Magistrates Court issued a summons on the Accused on the 16<sup>th</sup> of September 2022.

On the 14<sup>th</sup> of October 2022, in the case of Writ 354/22 filed in the Court of Appeal along with this case, the order given by the Judges of the Court of Appeal was forwarded by the Registrar of the Court of Appeal to the Magistrate Court of Fort. Considering the order of the Court of Appeal, the Fort Magistrate informed the parties that no order will be given on that day. Former President Maithripala Sirisena, the Accused in this case, was also present at the Fort Magistrate Court. Accordingly, the case was to be called on the 27<sup>th</sup> of January 2023.<sup>108</sup>

On the 27<sup>th</sup> of January 2023, the President’s Counsel for the Complainant informed the Court that the Accused is not stepping into the dock.<sup>109</sup> Then both Counsels made oral submissions on this matter and the Judge decided that after issuing summons that the individual becomes an accused and needs to step into the dock. As the matter between the Court of Appeal is not concluded, this matter was pushed to the 17<sup>th</sup> of March.<sup>110</sup> **On the 17<sup>th</sup> of March 2023, the Court decided to pause proceedings till the order of the Writ case.**<sup>111</sup>

## Section 8: The Writ Case Filed by the Former President Maithripala Sirisena in the Court of Appeal - WRIT 354/22

This case is regarding a petition filed on the 29<sup>th</sup> of September 2022 in the Court of Appeal by the former President Maithripala Sirisena alleging that the order made by the Magistrate Court in Case No. 23084/22 issuing summons on the former President requiring him to be present before the Fort Magistrates Court on the 14<sup>th</sup> of October 2022 is illegal, void and has no effect in Law. The Petitioner has requested from the Court of Appeal to issue a Writ of Certiorari, Writ of Prohibition, and an interim order staying the execution of the said order dated 16<sup>th</sup> September 2022 issuing summons on the Petitioner. The Petitioner had also requested the Court for an interim order staying further proceedings in the said case bearing No. 23084/22 of the Magistrates Court of Fort.

On the 11<sup>th</sup> of October 2022, the Centre for Society and Religion requested the permission of the Court through lawyers to appear in this case as an intervening party. No party objected to it and the Court granted permission. On this day, submissions were presented on behalf of the Petitioner.<sup>112</sup> The Petitioner made submissions through three main points. There, it was pointed out that there is no direct admissible evidence required to file a prima facie case against the Petitioner.<sup>113</sup> It was also pointed out that in the order given by the Fort Magistrate Court to issue summons to the Petitioner, it was not stated specifically which sections of the Penal Code are applicable to the Petitioner. It was also stated on behalf of the Petitioner that action cannot be taken against the Petitioner based on the facts of the Janak de Silva Presidential Commission report. The facts were presented on behalf of the Petitioner that naming the Petitioner as an Accused in a background where the investigations are still going on is also flawed.<sup>114</sup> The Petitioner presented his arguments citing certain case decisions. It was also pointed out that

according to the Special Presidential Commission of Inquiry law, the President does not fall under the term "Public Officer". The case was scheduled to be called on the 12th of October 2022 to present facts on behalf of the Respondents.<sup>115</sup>

On the 12<sup>th</sup> of October 2022, lawyers appearing on behalf of the Respondents presented their submissions and the lawyer who appeared for the Petitioner also presented their counter submissions.<sup>116</sup> The lawyers appearing for the third and fourth Respondents pointed out that the Petitioner is aware of this attack and that his responsibility extends to November 2016. Also, in Magistrate Court Case No. 23084/22, the Judge gave his order based not only on the recommendations of the Janak de Silva Presidential Commission report but also based on the findings of the Judge<sup>117</sup>. It was also stated that the Janak de Silva Commission was appointed by the Petitioner himself, and the witnesses were called and evidence was presented, and evidence was also called against the Petitioner in this case.<sup>118</sup> Also, the lawyers who appeared for the third and fourth Respondents made further submissions and were questioned about the balance of convenience between the reputation of the Petitioner and the fulfilment of justice.<sup>119</sup> The Additional Solicitor General informed the Court that a seven-member Supreme Court bench is to give a decision related to the Fundamental Rights case against the Petitioner in connection with the Easter attack.<sup>120</sup> As an intervening party, the lawyer representing the Centre for Society and Religion, who was involved in this case, presented his submissions against the writ petition citing the provisions of the Constitution. The decision on whether or not to grant an interim order to suspend the Magistrate Court case mentioned above was scheduled to be given on the 14<sup>th</sup> of October 2022.<sup>121</sup>

On the 14<sup>th</sup> of October 2022, the order was issued by the Court of Appeal (Certain parts of the relevant order were read out by the Judge). In this order, the Fundamental Rights cases filed in the Supreme Court regarding the Easter attacks were also mentioned.<sup>122</sup> The Court of Appeal directed the Fort Magistrate not to issue prejudicial orders against the Petitioner Mr. Maithripala Sirisena within 10 weeks from the date of the order (14th).<sup>123</sup> The interim injunction sought by Mr. Sirisena to quash the summons issued by the Fort Magistrate's Court was not issued. Several legal arguments have emerged before the Court that needs to be resolved. The Court of Appeal Judges asked the parties to file written submissions in this case on or before the 30th of November 2022. Former President Maithripala Sirisena was also present at the Court when the order was given by the Court of Appeal. The matter was scheduled to be called on the 6<sup>th</sup> of December 2022.<sup>124</sup>

On the 6<sup>th</sup> of December 2022, the learned President's Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned President's Counsel for the Respondent sought further time to file written submissions. All parties moved that this matter be refixed for argument. All parties made submissions on the 17<sup>th</sup> of January 2023 regarding the Magistrate's discretion to issue summons in a private plaint.

On the 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2023, the Court ordered to mention this matter before His Lordship, the President of the Court of Appeal, in Court number 301. The third respondent was to support this motion in order to get this matter referred to the Divisional Bench. On the 9<sup>th</sup> of February 2023, a further date was given to support the case.<sup>125</sup>

On the 24<sup>th</sup> of February 2023, this matter was called for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent to support the motion requesting the Divisional Bench. However, that did not happen and it was postponed to the 7<sup>th</sup> of March 2023.<sup>126</sup> On the 14<sup>th</sup> of March 2023, the Honourable Court of Appeal Judges gave the relevant order on calling this case before the Divisional Bench after considering the submissions made on the previous date. Accordingly, the case was ordered to be called in front of a five-judge bench in the Court of Appeal. It was also ordered that the charge sheets of the case before the Fort Magistrate Court will not be given to the Petitioner until the judgment of this case is given.<sup>127</sup>

On the 17<sup>th</sup> of March, the date was fixed for the **31<sup>st</sup> of July and the 9<sup>th</sup> of August 2023 at 1.30 p.m.** in front of a five-judge bench of the Court of Appeal for arguments.<sup>128</sup>

## Section 9: The Mawanella Buddha Statue Vandalism Case - HC 4485/21

On the 17<sup>th</sup> of June 2022, this matter was called.<sup>129</sup> There are 16 Accused in this case and the indictments were filed under the Prevention of Terrorism Act. Attorneys representing the Accused in this matter showed intention to change their position from filing indictments under the Prevention of Terrorism Act to opting to file indictments under the Penal Code.<sup>130</sup> The Accused other than the 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> Accused have pleaded guilty to their respective charges.<sup>131</sup>

On the 13<sup>th</sup> of September 2022, the matter was called in respect of the Accused persons who have not pleaded guilty to their respective charges.<sup>132</sup> The matter was taken up at 1.30 p.m. and the names of the two Accused were called but they were not presented to the Court by the Prison authorities despite being notified to present them.<sup>133</sup> The Court made an order requiring



the authorities to produce them in the coming days. It was informed that the Trial-at-Bar will not convene and the matter was refixed for a further date.<sup>134</sup>

On the 9<sup>th</sup> of November 2022, the matter was taken up at 11 a.m. and the 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> Accused were produced before the Court from Bussa prison. But there was no legal representation for the 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> Accused at the time of the hearing.<sup>135</sup> The Accused stated that proper instructions were given to their Attorneys, and they were informed that the lawyers would be present in Court. The State Counsel sought permission from the Court to open a document contained in the case material and documents and take necessary steps and the Judge granted permission for the same.<sup>136</sup> The Additional Solicitor General informed the Court that he was unable to prepare for the matter as he was not provided with the necessary instructions.<sup>137</sup> The Court made stern warnings to the learned counsels for causing inconvenience to the Court's time and resources. Court warned parties that this shall not be recurred and required the Accused to ensure legal representation on the following day. The Accused were required to appear in person or through Skype facility for proceedings on the next date of hearing. Parties were ordered to prepare for the matter thoroughly or formally inform the Court if they are not prepared for the hearing on the next date.<sup>138</sup> The Judge was on vacation on the 10<sup>th</sup> of January so the case was postponed.<sup>139</sup>

On the 18<sup>th</sup> of January 2023, the matter was taken up at 2,00 p.m. and the 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> Accused pleaded guilty after amending the indictments. The 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> Accused were given a 6-month suspended imprisonment for 7 years and a fine of Rs. 10,000 each.

The case concluded on this day.<sup>140</sup>

## **Section 10: The Vanathavilluwa Training Camp and Explosives Case - PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021**

This case is regarding a training camp and explosives relating to the Easter attacks discovered in Vanathavilluwa.

On the 15<sup>th</sup> of November 2022, lawyers who appeared for the Prosecution informed the Court that Witnesses no. 12 and 13 of the Prosecution had been summoned and that Witness No. 13 was present in Court even though he was not summoned last time for evidence.<sup>141</sup> When the

matter was called, Witness No. 12, Dias Padmasiri, a retired Police officer of the Criminal Investigation Department, was called for examination, cross-examination, and re-examination.<sup>142</sup> The State Counsel handed over the translations of the documents requested on a previous date by the Attorneys of the Defence. Witness No. 01 of the Prosecution was requested to issue a summons on the previous date, but since indictments have been filed against the witness in the High Court of Nuwara Eliya, the lawyers representing the Prosecution informed the Court to release him temporarily as there are legal obstacles in summoning him to produce evidence.<sup>143</sup>

On the 16<sup>th</sup> of November 2022, the State Counsel appearing for the Prosecution requested Court to issue summons on the Prosecution's Witnesses No. 23, 16, 63 for when the matter is called on the 24<sup>th</sup> of January 2023 and for the Prosecution's Witnesses No. 40 and 43 for when the matter is called on the 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2023. In respect of the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Accused, the Court refused bail on this day.<sup>144</sup> As this was filed under the Prevention of Terrorism Act No. 12 of 2022 as amended, bail is granted only under special circumstances being present. The order was made refusing bail in respect of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Accused on the basis that the Accused has been charged in other cases in addition to this matter, indictments have been filed against the Accused for the murder of police officers, the Accused does not seem to be currently suffering from any illness according to the referred medical report, and due to an absence of special circumstances.<sup>145</sup> Since the 4<sup>th</sup> Accused has pleaded guilty in the Kegalle High Court case No. HC 44/21, been indicted in the High Court case No. HC TAB 2972/21 for the conspiracy to plant 8 bombs during the Easter attacks, and as his wife being remanded in prison is not sufficient ground for bail to be granted and there is no special circumstance for the granting of bail, the order was made refusing bail in respect of the 4<sup>th</sup> Accused.

Although Prosecution witnesses No. 14-38 were called on the 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2023, only Witness numbers 14, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 37 were present.<sup>146</sup> Proceedings were amended as suggested by lawyers. Certain admissions were recorded and the evidence of Prosecution Witness No. 14 was concluded and the Witness identified the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Accused. Later, summons were issued to Witness numbers 9, 41, 52, 55, and 61 while the others were released.<sup>147</sup>

On the 7<sup>th</sup> of June 2023, a Voir Dire inquiry was held regarding Mohammed Sarefu Aatham Lebbe's confession and he gave evidence as the 1<sup>st</sup> witness that implied that the confession was

given under duress and that he was tortured. The Voir Dire inquiry will continue on the **4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, and 19<sup>th</sup> of September 2023.** <sup>148</sup>

## Section 11: The Case against Ahnaf Jeseem- HC 148/2021

This case is filed against the poet, Ahnaf Jeseem, under the Prevention of Terrorism Act. <sup>149</sup> On the 16<sup>th</sup> of November 2022, pre-trial was commenced and concluded in accordance with Section 195 A (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act No. 2 of 2022. In response to the inquiry made by the Judge, it was informed on behalf of the Accused that he had received the statements of all the witnesses. <sup>150</sup> When asked whether he is guilty of the charges mentioned in the indictment, it was informed on behalf of the Accused that he was not guilty. <sup>151</sup> When the Judge inquired about evidence of the expert witnesses, the Prosecution informed that they do not intend to present evidence of any expert witness. The Judge inquired the Prosecution how they wish to establish and prove the case at hand. Submissions were made regarding oral evidence led by the Prosecution. <sup>152</sup> The State Counsel informed the Court that she has not been instructed to present any case material or any document. The Judge was informed that several expert reports on child psychiatry have been filed in the case record. But the State Counsel did not inform the Court that the expert reports will be presented. <sup>153</sup> When the Judge inquired whether there were any admissions, it was informed on behalf of the Accused that there were no admissions. When the Judge inquired about the approximate period of time the relevant parties may need to present matters related to their cases, including the examination of witnesses to conduct a trial, the Prosecution informed the Court that they expect to call 14 witnesses. <sup>154</sup> Moreover, the lawyer appearing for the Accused also informed the Court that they reserve the right to produce documents submitted in the Fundamental Rights case filed by the Accused if necessary. The Judge stated that the Honourable Chief Justice informed the High Court officials to expeditiously hear and complete the cases filed under the Prevention of Terrorism Act. Therefore, the commencement of the trial may be scheduled as soon as possible in terms of Section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Moreover, the Judge directed the Court proceedings to be translated into Tamil language and handed over to the Accused. <sup>155</sup>

On the 17<sup>th</sup> of February 2023, the Tamil translation of the indictment was read out to the Accused and the Accused pleaded not guilty.<sup>156</sup> Both the Prosecution's Witness no.2, the Principal of School of Excellence, and Prosecution's witness No.6, a student of the Accused, concluded their evidence. The Court warned Prosecution's Witness No.8 to appear on the next date and summons were issued for Prosecution's Witness No. 9 and No. 10.<sup>157</sup> On the 23<sup>rd</sup> of March 2023, the State Counsel was absent.<sup>158</sup> The matter was postponed to the **28<sup>th</sup> of June 2023** for further trial. <sup>159</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> ‘Trial of 25 men accused of Easter Sunday attacks postponed to March 3’ (*ColomboPage*, 12 January 2022) <[http://www.colombopage.com/archive\\_22A/Jan12\\_1641972868CH.php](http://www.colombopage.com/archive_22A/Jan12_1641972868CH.php) > accessed on 29<sup>th</sup> of January 2022

‘පාස්කු නඩුව මාර්තු 3 වනදා ට කල්යයි’ (*Runews*, 12 January 2022) <<https://runews.lk/news/item/27169-the-easter-trial-is-set-for-march-3> > accessed on 30<sup>th</sup> of January 2022

<sup>2</sup> Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 7 PM’ (23 November 2021) <<https://youtu.be/ruDYyKIETCQ?t=1393>> (Time duration: 24:50 - 25:45) accessed on 30<sup>th</sup> November 2021

Ada Derana, ‘Ada Derana Prime Time News Bulletin’ (23 November 2021) <<https://youtu.be/krMXahiVbvg?t=1877> > )Time duration: 31:43 - 31:56) accessed on 1 December 2021

<sup>3</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>4</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

‘Trial of 25 men accused of Easter Sunday attacks postponed to March 3’ (*ColomboPage*, 12 January 2022) <[http://www.colombopage.com/archive\\_22A/Jan12\\_1641972868CH.php](http://www.colombopage.com/archive_22A/Jan12_1641972868CH.php) > accessed on 29<sup>th</sup> of January 2022

‘පාස්කු නඩුව මාර්තු 3 වනදා ට කල්යයි’ (*Runews*, 12 January 2022) <<https://runews.lk/news/item/27169-the-easter-trial-is-set-for-march-3> > accessed on 30<sup>th</sup> of January 2022

<sup>5</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>6</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>7</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>8</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>9</sup> Daily FT, ‘Full trial of Easter Sunday terror attack suspects from 12 May’ <<https://www.ft.lk/news/Full-trial-of-Easter-Sunday-terror-attack-suspects-from-12-May/56-731521>> accessed on 12<sup>th</sup> of December 2022

<sup>10</sup> Daily FT, ‘Full trial of Easter Sunday terror attack suspects from 12 May’ <<https://www.ft.lk/news/Full-trial-of-Easter-Sunday-terror-attack-suspects-from-12-May/56-731521>> accessed on 12<sup>th</sup> of December 2022

<sup>11</sup> Trial monitoring report on 03<sup>rd</sup> of March 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>12</sup> Trial monitoring report on 03<sup>rd</sup> of March 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>13</sup> Daily FT, ‘Full trial of Easter Sunday terror attack suspects from 12 May’ <<https://www.ft.lk/news/Full-trial-of-Easter-Sunday-terror-attack-suspects-from-12-May/56-731521>> accessed on 12<sup>th</sup> of December 2022

<sup>14</sup> Trial monitoring report on 03<sup>rd</sup> of October 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>15</sup> Trial monitoring report on 03<sup>rd</sup> of October 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>16</sup> Trial monitoring report on 03<sup>rd</sup> of October 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>17</sup> Trial monitoring report on 24<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>18</sup> Trial monitoring report on 24<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>19</sup> Trial monitoring report on 24<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>20</sup> Trial monitoring report on 24<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>21</sup> Trial monitoring report on 04<sup>th</sup> January 2023– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>22</sup> Trial monitoring report on 04<sup>th</sup> January 2023– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>23</sup> Trial monitoring report on 05<sup>th</sup> January 2023– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

<sup>24</sup> Trial monitoring report on 01<sup>st</sup> February 2023– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21

- 
- <sup>25</sup> Trial monitoring report on 28<sup>th</sup> February 2023– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21
- <sup>26</sup> Trial monitoring report on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2023– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21
- <sup>27</sup> Trial monitoring report on 24<sup>th</sup> May and 02<sup>nd</sup> June 2023– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21
- <sup>28</sup> Trial monitoring report on 02<sup>nd</sup> June 2023– Case No: HC (TAB) 2972/21
- <sup>29</sup> Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 10PM (18/01/2022) රාත්‍රී 10.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ (18 January 2022) < <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GOAEcru6gl0&t=1164s> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 18:57 - 20:56)
- <sup>30</sup> Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6.55 PM Live 2022-01-18’ (18 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/xM5frwgcK9E?t=2329> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 34:09 - 34:54)
- <sup>31</sup> Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 10PM (18/01/2022) රාත්‍රී 10.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ (18 January 2022) < <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GOAEcru6gl0&t=1164s> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 18:57 - 20:56)
- Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6.55 PM Live 2022-01-18’ (18 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/xM5frwgcK9E?t=2329> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 34:09 - 34:54)
- <sup>32</sup> Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 10PM (18/01/2022) රාත්‍රී 10.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ (18 January 2022) < <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GOAEcru6gl0&t=1164s> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 18:57 - 20:56)
- <sup>33</sup> Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 10PM (18/01/2022) රාත්‍රී 10.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ (18 January 2022) < <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GOAEcru6gl0&t=1164s> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 18:57 - 20:56)
- <sup>34</sup> Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 10PM (18/01/2022) රාත්‍රී 10.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ (18 January 2022) < <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GOAEcru6gl0&t=1164s> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 18:57 - 20:56)
- Zulfick Farzan, ‘Joint effort could have prevented Easter Attacks – Deshabandu’ (News 1<sup>st</sup>, 18 January 2022) < <https://www.newsfirst.lk/2022/01/18/joint-effort-could-have-prevented-easter-attacks-deshabandu/> > accessed on 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2022
- <sup>35</sup> Chamath, ‘Ex IGP made prior warning to four top Police officers.-Senior DIG | Sri Lanka News’ (,18 January 2022) < <https://thesinhalanews.lk/2022/01/18/ex-igp-made-prior-warning-to-four-top-police-officers-senior-dig-sri-lanka-news/> > accessed on 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2022
- Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21
- <sup>36</sup> Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21
- <sup>37</sup> Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21
- <sup>38</sup> Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21
- <sup>39</sup> Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21
- <sup>40</sup> Chamath, ‘Ex IGP made prior warning to four top Police officers.-Senior DIG | Sri Lanka News’ (,18 January 2022) < <https://thesinhalanews.lk/2022/01/18/ex-igp-made-prior-warning-to-four-top-police-officers-senior-dig-sri-lanka-news/> > accessed on 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2022
- Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21
- <sup>41</sup> Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21
- <sup>42</sup> Derana ‘අද දෙරණ රාත්‍රී 10.00 පුවත් විකාශය - 2022.01.19 | Ada Derana Late Night News Bulletin’ (19 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/o0n6qqC3Juk?t=667> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 11.06 – 15:24)
- ‘පාස්කු ප්‍රහාරය නඩුවේ ලේඛන 6ක් ගැන ප්‍රකාශයක්’ (Runews, 19 January 2022) < <https://www.runews.lk/news/item/27319-statement-on-6-documents-in-the-easter-attack-case> > accessed on 30<sup>th</sup> of January 2022
- ‘පූජිත ඵලවේගය ගෙනා ලේඛන පූජිතගේ පිහිටට’ (අයිතිය, 20 January 2022) < <https://www.aithiya.lk/12852/pujith-jayasundara-presidential-commission-of-inquiry-pasku-attack/> > accessed on 30<sup>th</sup> of January 2022

<sup>43</sup> Derana ‘අද දෙරණ රාත්‍රී 10.00 පුවත් විකාශය - 2022.01.19 | Ada Derana Late Night News Bulletin’ (19 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/o0n6qqC3Juk?t=667> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 11:06 – 15:24)

Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-01-19’ < <https://youtu.be/PW2YBQP2fFc?t=1470> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration: 24:31 – 27:32)

<sup>44</sup> Derana ‘අද දෙරණ රාත්‍රී 10.00 පුවත් විකාශය - 2022.01.19 | Ada Derana Late Night News Bulletin’ (19 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/o0n6qqC3Juk?t=667> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 11:06 – 15:24)

Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-01-19’ < <https://youtu.be/PW2YBQP2fFc?t=1470> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration: 24:31 – 27:32)

<sup>45</sup> Derana ‘අද දෙරණ රාත්‍රී 10.00 පුවත් විකාශය - 2022.01.19 | Ada Derana Late Night News Bulletin’ (19 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/o0n6qqC3Juk?t=667> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 11:06 – 15:24)

Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-01-19’ < <https://youtu.be/PW2YBQP2fFc?t=1470> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration: 24:31 – 27:32)

<sup>46</sup> Derana ‘අද දෙරණ රාත්‍රී 10.00 පුවත් විකාශය - 2022.01.19 | Ada Derana Late Night News Bulletin’ (19 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/o0n6qqC3Juk?t=667> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 11:06 – 15:24)

Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-01-19’ < <https://youtu.be/PW2YBQP2fFc?t=1470> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration: 24:31 – 27:32)

<sup>47</sup> Trial monitoring report on 20<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21

Derana ‘අද දෙරණ 6.55 ප්‍රධාන පුවත් විකාශය - 2022.01.20 | Ada Derana Prime Time News Bulletin’ (20 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/1J4pC00mvbI?t=1794> > accessed on 27<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 29:56 – 30:34)

Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-01-20’ (20 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/FIU9SDbsc78?t=1871> > accessed on 27<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 31:11 – 31:38)

<sup>48</sup> Trial monitoring report on 20<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21

<sup>49</sup> Trial monitoring report on 20<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21

<sup>50</sup> Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of February, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2900/21

Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 7PM (18/02/2022) රාත්‍රී 7.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ < <https://youtu.be/jvS2yeAKvxs?t=95> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> Of February 2022 (Time Duration: 1:34 – 4:34)

හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-02-18 < [https://youtu.be/-sgw\\_Z\\_ergA?t=130](https://youtu.be/-sgw_Z_ergA?t=130) > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> Of February 2022 (Time Duration 2:08 – 14:16)

<sup>51</sup> Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 7PM (18/02/2022) රාත්‍රී 7.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ < <https://youtu.be/jvS2yeAKvxs?t=95> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> Of February 2022 (Time Duration: 1:34 – 4:34)

<sup>52</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුළුන් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/2021 (විත්තිවාවක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 4 වන ඡේදය

<sup>53</sup> දණ්ඩ නීති සංග්‍රහය, 100 වගන්තියේ 3 වන කොටස

ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුළුන් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/2021 (විත්තිවාවක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 30 ඡේදය, 34 ඡේදය, 38 ඡේදය

<sup>54</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුළුන් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/ 2021 (විත්තිවාවක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 182 ඡේදය

<sup>55</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුළුන් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/ 2021 (විත්තිවාවක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 183 ඡේදය

<sup>56</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුළුන් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/ 2021 (විත්තිවාවක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 183 ඡේදය

<sup>57</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුළුන් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/ 2021 (විත්තිවාවක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 18 ඡේදය

<sup>58</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුළුන් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/ 2021 (විත්තිවාවක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 190 ඡේදය

- 59 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුජිත් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/ 2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 191 ඡේදය
- 60 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුජිත් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/ 2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 257 ඡේදය
- 61 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුජිත් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/ 2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 192, 193, 259 ඡේදය
- 62 BBC. “පාස්කු ප්‍රහාර නඩුව: හේමසිරි සහ පුජිත් නිදහස් කිරීමට එරෙහි පෙත්සම් විභාගයට දින නියම වෙයි.” BBC News Sinhala, 13 Mar. 2023, [www.bbc.com/sinhala/articles/c7215gmn5n9o](http://www.bbc.com/sinhala/articles/c7215gmn5n9o).
- 63 Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2899/21
- 64 Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2899/21
- 65 Derana ‘අද දෙරණ රාත්‍රී 10.00 පුවත් විකාශය - 2022.01.19 | Ada Derana Late Night News Bulletin’ (19 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/o0n6qqC3Juk?t=667> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 11.06 – 15:24)
- 66 Trial monitoring report on 19<sup>th</sup> of January, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2899/21
- 67 Derana ‘අද දෙරණ රාත්‍රී 10.00 පුවත් විකාශය - 2022.01.19 | Ada Derana Late Night News Bulletin’ (19 January 2022) < <https://youtu.be/o0n6qqC3Juk?t=667> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration 11.06 – 15:24)
- Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-01-19’ < <https://youtu.be/PW2YBQP2fFc?t=1470> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration: 24:31 – 27:32)
- 68 Hiru, ‘හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-01-19’ < <https://youtu.be/PW2YBQP2fFc?t=1470> > accessed on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2022 (Time duration: 24:31 – 27:32)
- 69 Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of February, 2022 – Case No: HC (TAB) 2899/21
- Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 7PM (18/02/2022) රාත්‍රී 7.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ < <https://youtu.be/jvS2yeAKvxs?t=95> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> Of February 2022 (Time Duration: 1:34 – 4:34)
- හිරු රාත්‍රී 6.55 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති ප්‍රකාශය - Hiru TV NEWS 6:55 PM Live | 2022-02-18 < [https://youtu.be/-sgw\\_Z\\_ergA?t=130](https://youtu.be/-sgw_Z_ergA?t=130) > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> Of February 2022 (Time Duration 2:08 – 14:16)
- 70 Sirasa, ‘News 1<sup>st</sup> Prime Time Sinhala News – 7PM (18/02/2022) රාත්‍රී 7.00 ප්‍රධාන ප්‍රවෘත්ති’ < <https://youtu.be/jvS2yeAKvxs?t=95> > accessed on 25<sup>th</sup> Of February 2022 (Time Duration: 1:34 – 4:34)
- 71 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 4 වන ඡේදය
- 72 “යම් දෙයක් කිරීමට යම් ක්‍රියාවකින් හෝ නීතිවිරෝධී නොකර හැරීමකින් ඕනෑකමින්ම උපකාර කරන්නෙක් එය කිරීමට අනුබල දෙන්නෙක් වේ.” දණ්ඩ නීති සංග්‍රහය, 100 වගන්තියේ 3 වන කොටස
- ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 32 ඡේදය, 34 ඡේදය, 38 ඡේදය
- 73 දණ්ඩ නීති සංග්‍රහය, 100 වගන්තියේ 3 වන කොටස
- ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව පුජිත් සේනාධි බණ්ඩාර ජයසුන්දර HC (TAB) 2900/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 30 ඡේදය, 34 ඡේදය, 38 ඡේදය
- 74 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 173 ඡේදය
- 75 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 174 ඡේදය
- 76 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 174 ඡේදය
- 77 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 153 ඡේදය, 155 ඡේදය
- 78 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 155 ඡේදය
- 79 ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 173 ඡේදය



<sup>80</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 19 ඡේදය

<sup>81</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 180 ඡේදය

<sup>82</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 181 ඡේදය

<sup>83</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 259 ඡේදය

<sup>84</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 260 ඡේදය

<sup>85</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 261 ඡේදය

<sup>86</sup> ශ්‍රී ලංකා ප්‍රජාතාන්ත්‍රික සමාජවාදී ජනරජය එදිරිව හේමසිරි ප්‍රනාන්දු HC (TAB) 2899/2021 (චිත්තිවාචක කැඳවීම පිළිබඳ නියෝගය) 182, 183, 262 ඡේදය

<sup>87</sup> BBC. “පාස්කු ප්‍රහාර නඩුව:හේමසිරි සහ පුච්ඡි නිදහස් කිරීමට එරෙහි පෙත්සම් විභාගයට දින නියම වෙයි.” BBC News Sinhala, 13 Mar. 2023, [www.bbc.com/sinhala/articles/c7215ggn5n9o](http://www.bbc.com/sinhala/articles/c7215ggn5n9o).

<sup>88</sup> Trial monitoring report on 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2022 - Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>89</sup> Trial monitoring report on 26<sup>th</sup> July, 2022 - Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>90</sup> Trial monitoring report on 2<sup>nd</sup> of August, 2022 - Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>91</sup> Trial monitoring report on 2<sup>nd</sup> of August, 2022 - Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>92</sup> Trial monitoring report on 4<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>93</sup> <https://www.dailynews.lk/2022/10/06/law-order/288632/sc-concludes-hearing-12-fr-cases-against-failure-prevent-easter-attacks>

<sup>94</sup> Trial monitoring report on 5<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>95</sup> Trial monitoring report on 5<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>96</sup> Trial monitoring report on 5<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>97</sup> Trial monitoring report on 5<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

<sup>98</sup> Trial monitoring report on 5<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case Nos: SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019

- 
- <sup>99</sup> Trial monitoring report on 4<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: HC 78/2021
- <sup>100</sup> Trial monitoring report on 23<sup>rd</sup> of January, 2023– Case No: HC 78/2021
- <sup>101</sup> Trial monitoring report on 24<sup>th</sup> of March, 2023– Case No: HC 78/2021
- <sup>102</sup> Trial monitoring report on 27<sup>th</sup> of March, 2023– Case No: HC 78/2021
- <sup>103</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of May, 2023– Case No: HC 78/2021
- <sup>104</sup> Trial monitoring report on 25<sup>th</sup> of November, 2022– Case No: HC 188/2021
- <sup>105</sup> Trial monitoring report on 5<sup>th</sup> of June, 2023– Case No: HC 188/2021
- <sup>106</sup> Trial monitoring report on 14<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: 23084/22
- <sup>107</sup> Trial monitoring report on 14<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: 23084/22
- <sup>108</sup> Trial monitoring report on 14<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: 23084/22
- <sup>109</sup> Trial monitoring report on 27<sup>th</sup> of January, 2023– Case No: 23084/22
- <sup>110</sup> Trial monitoring report on 27<sup>th</sup> of January, 2023– Case No: 23084/22
- <sup>111</sup> Trial monitoring report on 17<sup>th</sup> of March, 2023– Case No: 23084/22
- <sup>112</sup> Trial monitoring report on 11<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>113</sup> Trial monitoring report on 11<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>114</sup> Trial monitoring report on 11<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>115</sup> Trial monitoring report on 11<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>116</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>117</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>118</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>119</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>120</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>121</sup> Trial monitoring report on 12<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>122</sup> Trial monitoring report on 14<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>123</sup> Trial monitoring report on 14<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>124</sup> Trial monitoring report on 14<sup>th</sup> of October, 2022– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>125</sup> Trial monitoring report on 9<sup>th</sup> of February, 2023– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>126</sup> Trial monitoring report on 24<sup>th</sup> of February, 2023– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>127</sup> Trial monitoring report on 14<sup>th</sup> of March, 2023– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>128</sup> Trial monitoring report on 17<sup>th</sup> of March, 2023– Case No: Writ 354/22
- <sup>129</sup> Trial monitoring report on 17<sup>th</sup> of June, 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>130</sup> Trial monitoring report on 17<sup>th</sup> of June, 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>131</sup> Trial monitoring report on 17<sup>th</sup> of June, 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>132</sup> Trial monitoring report on 13<sup>th</sup> of September 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>133</sup> Trial monitoring report on 13<sup>th</sup> of September 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>134</sup> Trial monitoring report on 13<sup>th</sup> of September 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>135</sup> Trial monitoring report on 9<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>136</sup> Trial monitoring report on 9<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>137</sup> Trial monitoring report on 9<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21

- 
- <sup>138</sup> Trial monitoring report on 9<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>139</sup> Trial monitoring report on 10<sup>th</sup> of January 2023– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>140</sup> Trial monitoring report on 18<sup>th</sup> of January 2023– Case No: HC 4485/21
- <sup>141</sup> Trial monitoring report on 15<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021
- <sup>142</sup> Trial monitoring report on 15<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021
- <sup>143</sup> Trial monitoring report on 15<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021
- <sup>144</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021
- <sup>145</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November 2022– Case No: PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021
- <sup>146</sup> Trial monitoring report on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2023– Case No: PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021
- <sup>147</sup> Trial monitoring report on 26<sup>th</sup> of January 2023– Case No: PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021
- <sup>148</sup> Trial monitoring report on 7<sup>th</sup> of June 2023– Case No: PUTTLAM /TAB 107/2021
- <sup>149</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November, 2022– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>150</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November, 2022– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>151</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November, 2022– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>152</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November, 2022– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>153</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November, 2022– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>154</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November, 2022– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>155</sup> Trial monitoring report on 16<sup>th</sup> of November, 2022– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>156</sup> Trial monitoring report on 17<sup>th</sup> of February, 2023– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>157</sup> Trial monitoring report on 17<sup>th</sup> of February, 2023– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>158</sup> Trial monitoring report on 23<sup>rd</sup> of March, 2023– Case No: HC 148/2021
- <sup>159</sup> Trial monitoring report on 28<sup>th</sup> of June, 2023– Case No: HC 148/2021

## Annexure 1: Summary Table

Case No. And Court	Accused/Respondent	Judges	Counsels for Accused/ Respondent	State Counsels	Counsels for aggrieved parties	Dates on which the case was called / heard	Next Date
<b>HC (TAB) 2972/21</b>  <b>Colombo High Court</b>	25 accused including Naufer Moulavi (Mohammed Ibrahim Mohammed Nawfar alias Nawfer Moulavi, Mohammed Saribu Adam Lebbe (Abu Hadik), Hayathu Mohammed Milhan (Abu Zillah), Mohammed Ibrahim Sadiq Abdullah (Abu Umar), Mohammed Ebrahim Abdul Haq (Abu Fala) , Mohammad Anwar Mohammad Riskan (Abu Tariq), Mohammad Mansoor Mohammad Sanasdeen (Abu Misan), Abdul Manaf Mohammad Piridavus, Mohammad Ramish Mohammad Zarik, Abdul Latif Mohammad Safi (Safi Moulavi/Abu Furqan), Husseinul Rizvi Mohammad Kahir Samir, Mohammad Zawheer Mohammad Hassan (Abu Dawood), Mohammad Iftikhar Mohammad Insaf (Abu Mohammad), Rashid Mohamed Ibrahim, Mohammed	Trial at Bar comprising of Damith Thotawatte, Amal Ranaraja, Nawaratne Marasinghe	Rushdi Habeeb, AAL for the 1st and 10th Accused Risvan Ubacces, Attorney-at-Law for the 2nd & 14th Accused 3 rd ,7th ,8th ,11th ,12th ,17th ,18th ,19th ,20th and 21st Accused – Shaheed (AAL), Ghazaid(AAL) Azad Musthafa, Attorney-at-Law for the 4th & 15th Accused 6th Accused – PC Anura Maddegoda, Nadeesa Kannangara (AAL) 9th Accused – Ghasali Husen (AAL), Thusara Warapitiya (AAL), shammas Gaus (AAL) Vijithananda Madawalagama, Attorney-at-Law for the 22 <sup>nd</sup> , 23 <sup>rd</sup> and 24 <sup>th</sup> Accused. 25th Accused- Anil Maddumage	Additional solicitor General Haripriya Jayasundara, Deputy Solicitor General Sudharshan De Silva, State Counsel Sajith Bandara	Attorneys-at-Law Suren D. Perera, Amila Suyama Egodamahawatte, Manoj Nanayakkara and Manushika Cooray	2022.01.12 2022.03.03 2022.05.12 at 1.30 p.m. 2022.10.03 2022.11.24 2023.01.04 at 1.30 pm 2023.01.05 at 1.30 pm 2023.02.28 2023.03.31 2023.06.02 at 2.00pm	2023.07.11 at 2.00 pm 2023.08.02 at 2.00 pm 2023.08.07 at 2.00 pm

<b>Case No. And Court</b>	<b>Accused/Respondent</b>	<b>Judges</b>	<b>Counsels for Accused/ Respondent</b>	<b>State Counsels</b>	<b>Counsels for aggrieved parties</b>	<b>Dates on which the case was called / heard</b>	<b>Next Date</b>
	Hanifa Zainul Abdin (Abu Hina), Mohamed Mustafa Mohamed Haris (Abu Nanjiar), Yassin Bawa Abdul Rauf, Rasik Raza Hussain, Kachchi Mohamed Jasmine, Zainul Abdeen Mohamed Jaseen, Mohamed Mustafa Mohamed Rizwan, Meera Saheed Mohamed Nafli (Abu Sana), Mohamed Amin Ayantullah, Mohamed Ansar Deen Hilmi and Mohamed Akram)		(AAL), Praveen Premathilaka (AAL)				
<b>HC (TAB) 2900/21 Colombo High Court</b>	Former Inspector General of Police Pujith Jayasundara	Trial at Bar comprising of Namal Balalle, Adithya Patabendige and Mohamed Irshadeen	A team of Attorneys-at-Law led by Roshan Dehiwela	A team of Attorneys-at-Law led by SDSG Dileepa Peiris	Attorneys-at-Law Suren D. Perera, S. K. Senarathna and Geeva Nilmalgoda	2022.01.18 2022.01.19 2022.01.20 2022.02.18 2023.03.13	Before Supreme Court for Appeal 2023. 07.04 2023.07.05 2023.07.11 2023.07.12
<b>HC (TAB) 2899/2021 Colombo High Court</b>	Mr. Hemasir Fernando, Former Defence Secretary	Trial at Bar comprising of Namal Balalle, Adithya Patabendige and Mohamed Irshadeen	A team of Attorneys-at-Law led by Mohan Weerakoon PC	A team of Attorneys-at-Law led by SDSG Sudarshana De Silva	Attorneys-at-Law Suren D. Perera, S. K. Senarathna and Geeva Nilmalgoda	2022.01.18 2022.01.19 2022.02.18 2023.03.13	Before Supreme Court for Appeal 2023. 07.04 2023.07.05 2023.07.11 2023.07.12
<b>FR hearings related to Easter Attacks</b>	Mr. Pujith Jayasundara Mr.Maithripala Sirisena	Jayantha Jayasuriya, PC, CJ B.P. Aluwihare, PC,J Murdu N.B. Fernando, PC,J S.		Mr. Priyantha Nawana- Senior Additional Solicitor General (SDAG)	-	2022.06.09 2022.07.26 2022.08.02 2022.10.04 2022.10.05	Case Concluded

Case No. And Court	Accused/Respondent	Judges	Counsels for Accused/ Respondent	State Counsels	Counsels for aggrieved parties	Dates on which the case was called / heard	Next Date
<b>SC FR 163/2019, SC FR 165/2019, SC FR 166/2019, SCFR 184/2019, SC FR 188/2019, SC FR 191/2019, SC FR 193/2019, SC FR 195/2019, SC FR 196/2019, SC FR No. 197/19, SC FR 198/2019, SCFR 293/2019</b>  <b>Supreme Court</b>	Mr. Gotabaya Rajapakse  Attorney General  Mr. Hemasiri Fernando  Gen.S.H.S.Kottegoda (Retd)  And others	Thurairaja, PC,J. A.L. Shiran Gooneratne, J A.H.M.D.Nawaz, J . L.T.B.Dehiddeniya J				2023.01.12	
<b>HC 78/2021</b>  <b>Puttalam High Court</b>	Hijas Omar Hisbulla Saleemkhan Mohamed Shakeel	Nadi Aparna Suvandurugoda J	Hafeel Faris Attorney-at-Law, Shehani Vatsala Attorney-at-Law and President's Counsel Mr. Nalinda Indatissa appeared for the 1 <sup>st</sup> Accused. Vinashwari Jayathilake Attorney-at-Law, Krishani Vatsala	State Counsel Nimesha De Alwis	-	2022.10.04 2022.11.25 2023.01.23 2023.03.24 2023.05.12	2023.07.14

Case No. And Court	Accused/Respondent	Judges	Counsels for Accused/ Respondent	State Counsels	Counsels for aggrieved parties	Dates on which the case was called / heard	Next Date
			Attorney-at-Law and Chaminda Athukorala Attorney-at-Law for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Accused.				
<b>HC 188/2021</b>  <b>Puttalam High Court</b>	Lukman Maulavi, Mohammad Wazir, Maulavi, Sakil Khan Maulawi	Nadi Aparna Suvandurugoda J	Chamal Perera Attorney-at-Law, Sahabbi Attorney-at-Law	Deputy Solicitor General Lakmini Girihagama	-	2022.11.25 (Data not available between this period) 2023.06.05	2023.07.10
<b>23084/22</b>  <b>Fort Magistrate Court</b>	Pallewaththa Gamaralalage Maithreepala Sirisena Yapa	Magistrate Thilina Gamage	Failzal Mustapha PC for the suspect	-	Mr.Rienzie Arsekularathna PC for the complainant	2022.09.16 2022.10.14 2023.01.27 2023.03.17	Adjourned till the order of the Writ case
<b>Writ 354/22</b>  <b>Court of Appeal</b>	Honorable Magistrate, Fort Magistrate's Court (Respondent) The Registrar, Fort Magistrate's Court (Respondent)  Rev.Cyril Fernando (Complainant Respondents)  Jesuraj Ganeshan (Complainant Respondents)	Hon. Justice Sobitha Rajakaruna Hon. Justice Dhammika Ganepola	For 3rd Respondent – Mr.Rienzie Arsekularathna PC (AAL) with Mr Thilina PUNCHIHEWA and others  For 4th Respondent – Mr.Riad Ameen (AAL) Intervening Respondent – Mr.Suren D.Perera (AAL) Manushika Cooray (AAL)	Additional Solicitor General Mr. Rohantha Abeyuriya	-	2022.10.11 2022.10.12 2022.10.14 2022.12.06 2023.01.17 2023.02.24 2023.03.14 2023.03.17	2023.07.31 and 2023.08.09 at 1.30 pm

Case No. And Court	Accused/Respondent	Judges	Counsels for Accused/ Respondent	State Counsels	Counsels for aggrieved parties	Dates on which the case was called / heard	Next Date
<b>HC 4485/21</b> <b>Kegalle High Court</b>	Mohamed Alufer, Mohamed Ashpan, Mohamed Muski, Mohamed Akbar, Mohamed Mutheef, Mohamed Sufier Husein, Mohamed Ashkar Athif Ahmed, Mohamed Fausan, Rasheed Mohamed Ibrahim, Mohamed Ibrahim Sadik Abdullah, Mohamed Hanifa Seinul Abdin, Hisbulla Khan Hamdi Ahmed, Hayathu Mohamed Ahmed, Mohamed Haneefa Mohideen, Hanan Amsdeen, Mohamadu Ibrahim Mohamdu Naufer, Mohamed Rams Mohamed Sadeek, Mohamed Ibrahim Saadik Abdulla.	Jagath A Kahandagamage J, Jayaki De Alwis J, S.I Kalinga J	MCM Muneer, Rizwan Uwais, Imthiyaz Wahab, Ruski Habeed, Ahmed Muski, Sasika Perera, Mudeen Rahuman, Ahmed Hamdan, Sampath Hewa Pathirana Attorneys-at-Law	State Counsels Udara Karunathilaka, Arendra Jayasinghe	-	2022.06.17 2022.09.13 2022.11.09 2023.01.18	Case was concluded
<b>PUTTLAM/ TAB 107/2021</b> <b>Puttalam High Court</b>	Abu Hanifa Mohammadi Mufiz <i>alias</i> Mufiz <i>alias</i> Abu Dahida, Ameer Hamza Mohammed Hamas <i>alias</i> Hamas <i>alias</i> Abu Safia, Mohammad Sarifu Adam Lebbe <i>alias</i> Ghafur Mama <i>alias</i> Ghafur Nana <i>alias</i> Abu Hadida Mohammad Itbrahim Sadiq Abdullah	Justice Nishantha Hapuarachchi, Justice Hasitha Saman Ponnamperuma and Justice Naomi Chikramasekara	Justice Nishantha Hapuarachchi, Justice Hasitha Saman Ponnamperuma and Justice Naomi Chikramasekara	Deputy Solicitor General Wasantha Perera, State Counsel Sajith Bandara	-	2022.11.15 2022.11.16 2023.01.24 2023.01.25 2023.02.22 2023.03.20 2023.06.07	2023.07.04 2023.07.05 2023.07.18 2023.07.19



<b>Case No. And Court</b>	<b>Accused/Respondent</b>	<b>Judges</b>	<b>Counsels for Accused/ Respondent</b>	<b>State Counsels</b>	<b>Counsels for aggrieved parties</b>	<b>Dates on which the case was called / heard</b>	<b>Next Date</b>
	alias Sadiq aka Sadiq Abdullah alias Abu Umar, Mohammad Ibrahim Mohammad Naufar alias Naufar Moulavi alias Abu Said, Mohammad Ramish Mohammad Sapid alias Sajid Maulvi alias Abu Naja						
<b>HC 148/2021 Puttalam High Court</b>	Mohammadu Jeseem Mohammadu Ahnab <i>alias</i> Mannaramudu Ahnab	Nadi Aparna Suvandurugoda J	Husni Attorney-at-Law with Mr. Rushdi Habeeb, Attorney-at-Law	State Counsel Nimesha De Alwis		2022.11.16 2023.02.17 2023.03.23	2023.06.28

**Annexure 2: Attorney General's Department's Details of Cases (Received through a RTI application)**

මගේ අංකය My No.	2147888 2433967 2320800 2327919 2148001(2)	 <p> <b>නීතිපති දෙපාර්තමේන්තුව</b>  <b>சட்டமாதிரி திணைக்களம்</b>  <b>ATTORNEY - GENERAL'S DEPARTMENT</b> </p>	තැපෑල අංකය P.O. Box No.	502
ඔබේ අංකය Your No.			කොළඹ 12. Colombo 12.	
දුරකථන අංක Telephone Nos.				
ඉලෙක්ට්‍රොනික් තැපෑල යම් ලිපිනය E-mail	administration@attorneygeneral.gov.lk			
ෆැක්ස් ශ්‍රේණිගත අංකය Fax	2436421			

2023.05. | ෪

අංක 281,  
 ඩිනිස් පාර,  
 කොළඹ 10.

නමි කළ නිලධාරියාහට යවන අභියාචනා අයදුම් පත්‍රයයි

ඔබ විසින් මා වෙත ඉදිරිපත් කරන ලද අභියාචනා අයදුම් පත්‍රය හා බැඳේ.

ඒ අනුව, ඔබ විසින් කරන ලද තොරතුරු ඉල්ලීම අයදුම්පත හා තොරතුරු නිලධාරියා විසින් ඔබගේ තොරතුරු ඉල්ලීම ප්‍රතික්ෂේප කරන ලද ලිපියේ අඩංගු කරුණු ද නැවත සලකා බැලෙමි.

අදාළ තොරතුරු නිලධාරියා ඔබ විසින් ඉල්ලා ඇති තොරතුරු, තොරතුරු දැනගැනීමේ පනතේ 43 වන වගන්තිය අනුව අර්ථ නිරූපණය කර ඇති "තොරතුරක්" භෞමික ආවරණය වන අතර නීති විරෝධී වීම හෝ එකඟ වීම, කෙසේ නමුත් පාස්කු ඉරිදා ප්‍රහාරය සම්බන්ධයෙන් දැනට නීතිපති විසින් පවරා ඇති නඩු අංක, අදාළ අධිකරණ සහ විනිශ්චාරණයන් නම සඳහන් ලේඛනයක් ඔබගේ දැනගැනීම පිණිස මේ සමඟ එවමි.

  
 පාරිභෝගික රණසිංහ විනායිපති නීතිඥ,  
 අතිරේක කොමිෂනර් ජනරාල්,  
 අභියාචනා සඳහා නමි කළ නිලධාරියා,  
 නීතිපති දෙපාර්තමේන්තුව.

PIYU/RI-50-2022-LE

**Easter Sunday Related Indictments 22.02.2023**


	Accused	High Court & Case Number
1	1.Mohomed Sarif Adam Lebbe alias Gaffoor Mama 2.Hamsa Mohideen Muhammadu Imran 3.Abdul Manaf Mohomed Firthaous 4.Hayathu Muhammadu Ahamed Milhan	Batticaloa HC 3421/21
2	1.Hayathu Muhammadu Ahamed Milhan 2.Mohomed Sarif Adam Lebbe alias Gaffoor Mama 3.Mohomed Ibrahim Sadik Abdul Haq	Kegalla HC 4409/20
3	Moinuddeen Ihsaan Ahamed	Kandy HC 32/21
4	01.Hijaz Omar Hisbulla 02.Saleem Khan Mohomed Shakil	Puttalam HC 78/21
5	Mohammmdu Jeseem Mohommadu Ahamed	Puttalam HC 148/21
6	Mohomed Rafayadeen Mohomed Ali	Nuwara Eliya HC 69/21
7	1.Balakrishnan Raja Chamara Ranjan 2.Wewala Gamage Don Lahiru Maduwantha	Negombo HC 308/21
8	Mohomad Jibri Hussain Paris	Kaluthara HC 268/21
9	Abdul Kaadar Mohommadu Aseem	Nuwara Eliya HC 75/21
10	Mohomed Shasny	Galle HC 5673/21
11	Hasbulla Khan Hamdi Ahamed Alias Abu Sahal	Nuwara Eliya HC 78/21
12	1.Mohommadu Mersa Asraf Ali	Kalmunai HC 658/21

	Accused	High Court & Case Number
13	Mohammadu Sahir Mohammadu Ahsan Alias Abu Amara	Nuwara Eliya HC 88/21
14	Mohamed Faruk Mohamadu Hilar Alias Abu Haitham	Kandy 301/21
15		Batticaloa HC 3437/21
16	01.Saleem Khan Mohomed Shakil 02.Mohomad jawufar Lukman Hakeem 03.Mohomad Naseerdeen Mohamad Baseer	Puttalam HC 188/21
17	Mohomed Sareeb Dawud Najim	Vavuniya HC 3058/22
18	Mohomed Ameer Mohomed Aadil	Galle HC 5749/22
19	1.Mohomed Baseer Mohomed Pashan	Kandy HC 95/22
20	Mihardeen Mohomadu Suhri	Kuliyapitiya HC 73/22
21	Mohomad Munavvar Mohomed Yufri	Kurunegala HC 237/20
22	Imad Ibithisami Fakir	Gampaha HC 251/20
23	Mohomed Thawufer Pasni Ahamed	Nuwaraeliya HC 57/22


	Accused	High Court & Case Number
24	1.Mohammad Alufar Mohammad Ashfaq 2.Mohammad Faizer Mohammad Mufthi 3.Mohammad Akbar Mohammad Muneef 4.Mohammad Sufiyar Hussain Irshad 5.Mohammad Ashar Atheek Ahamed 6. Najumudeen Mohammad Faushan 7.Rasheed Mohammad Ibrahim 8.Mohommadu Ibrahim Mohommadu Naufer alias Abu Seydi 9.Mohammad Rameesh Mohommad Saajith alias Abhu Naja 10.Mohammad Ibrahim Sadeeq Abdulla alias Abhu Umar 11.Mohammad Ibrahim Saheed Abdul Haq alias Abhu Fala 12.Mohammad Haneefa Zeinul Abdeen alias Abhu Hina 13.Hisbulla Khan Hamdi Ahamad 14.Hayathu Mohommad Ahamadu Milhan alias Abhu Shila 15.Mohammad Haneefa Haja Mohideen 16.Hanan Amsdeen	Kegalla HC 4485/21
25	1.Abo Haneefa Mohammad Muffes alias Abu Dahida 2.Ameer Hamza Mohammad Hamas alias Abu Safiya 3.Mohommadu Ibrahim Mohommadu Naufer alias Abu Seyidi 4.Mohammad Ramees Mohommad Saajith alias Abu Najar 5.Mohammad Ibrahim Sadeeq Abulla alias Abu Umar 6.Mohammad Sarifu Adam Lebbe alias Gafoor Mama	Puttalam HC 107/21
26	Nagur Thambi Abubakar	Batticaloa HC 3487/22
27	Abdul Kadar Fathima Hadiya	Kalmunai 653/21

	Accused	High Court & Case Number
28	1. Muhammadu Ebrahim Mohommadu Nauffer Alias Nauffer Maulawi Alias Abu Seid Alias Abu Sharim 2. Mohamed Saribu Adam Lebbe Alias Mohamed Sareef Adam Lebbe Alias Gafur Mama Alias Abu Hadith 3. Hayathu Mohammadu Ahamadu Milhan Alias Abu Sila 4. Mohamad Ebrahim Sadik Abdulla Alias Abu Umar 5. Mohamad Ebrahim Sahid Abdul huque Alias Abu Fala 6. Mohamed Anwar Mohamed Riskan Alias Abu Tharik 7. Mohamed Mansur Mohamed Sanasdeen Alias Thaibu Alias Abu Misan 8. Abdul Manaf Mohamed Piridaus 9. Mohamed Ramish Mohamed Sajith Alias Abu Naja Alias Sajith Maulawi 10. Abdul latheef Mohamad Safi Alias Safi Maulawi Alias Abu Furkan 11. Husenul Rizvi Kalid Sameer 12. Mohamad Swaheer Mohamad Hasan Alias Abu Dawud 13. Mohamad Ifthikar Mohamad Insaf Alias Abu Mohamad 14. Rasheed Mohamed Ebrahim 15. Mohamadu Haneefa Seinul Abdeen Alias Abu Hina 16. Mohamed Musthapha Mohamed Haris Alias Abu Nanjiyar 17. Yasim Bawa Abdul Rauf Alias Yasin Bawa Abdul Rauf 18. Rasik Raza Husain 19. Kachchi Mohammadu Mohammadu Jemsith Alias Abu Abdul Malik 20. Seinul Abdeen Mohamed Jaseem 21. Mohamed Mustapha Mohamed Rizwan 22. Mirasahab Mohamed Nafli Alias Abu Sana Alias Nafli Brother Alias Sahodara Nafli 23. Mohamed Ameer Mohamadu Ayanthullah Alias Abu Ayis Ebrahim 24. Mohamed Anzardeen Mohamed Ilmi Alias Hilmi 25. Mohamed Akram Ahakkam	Colombo HC TAB 2972/21
29	Mohomed Mohideen Mohomed Riyaz	Colombo HC 3885/22

	Accused	High Court & Case Number
30	1.Mohomed Shipas Saththar 2.Seyadu abu Bai Mohomed Asraf 3.Mohomed Ishaq Nilabdeen alias Arshad 4.Gunaseelan Raveendran alias mohomed Ishaq 5.Sek Pareed Mohomed Fausi 6.Mohomed Moinudeen Moosa alias Abdulla	Colombo HC 3886/22
31	Mohomed Hussain Abdul Kader	Hambantota HC 44/22
32	Abdul Kader Mohomed Ajmeer	Nuwaraeliya HC 52/22
33	Abdul Haq Mohomed Sameer	Nuwaraeliya HC 51/22
34	Urmeir Mohomed Iqbal	Kandy HC 142/22
35	Sawul Hameed Hameesh Mohomed alias Siyam alias Abua Hasan	Kalmunai HC 688/22
36	Uwais Seinur Rahman	Hambantota HC 02/23
37	Kinaru Muhaideen Mohomed Azeem	Hambantota HC 61/22
38		Batticaloa HC 3476/22
39	Mohomed Pasil Usama	Hambantota HC 64/22
40	Hemasiri Fernando	HC Colombo HC.TAB 2899/21
41	Pujith Jayasundara	HC Colombo HC.TAB 2900/21



**පොලිස් මූලස්ථානය, කොළඹ, ශ්‍රී ලංකාව**  
 பொலீஸ் தலைநகரம், கொழும்பு, இலங்கை  
**POLICE HEADQUARTERS, COLOMBO, SRI LANKA**



---

ක. ප. අංකය න. ප. අං. අං. P. O. Box No.	517	ෆැක්ස් தொலைபேசி Fax	+94(0) 11 24 46 174 +94(0) 11 24 40 440
දුරකථන தொலைபேசி Telephone	+94 (0) 11 24 21 750 +94 (0) 11 24 21 111 - 9	විද්‍යුත් තැපෑල E-mail	igpp@police.lk tel@igpp@police.lk

website: www.police.lk

---

මගේ අංකය எனது இல. My No.	ඔබේ අංකය உமது இல. Your No.	දිනය திகதி Date	2023.03.10
--------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------	------------

**STAFF/05/IGP/OUT/03/ 9242 /2023**

ලියාපදිංචි අංකය -

281, ඩිනිස් පාර,  
 කොළඹ 10

**2016 අංක 12 දරණ තොරතුරු දැනගැනීමේ අයිතියෙහි පිළිබඳ පනත යටතේ ආරක්ෂක අමාත්‍යාංශය සහ මහජන ආරක්ෂක අමාත්‍යාංශය වෙත යොමු කළ තොරතුරු ඉල්ලීම.**

ඔබ විසින් 2022.11.12 දින ආරක්ෂක අමාත්‍යාංශයට සහ මහජන ආරක්ෂක අමාත්‍යාංශය වෙත යොමු කළ තොරතුරු ඉල්ලුම්පත්‍රය තොරතුරු සැපයීම සඳහා මා වෙත යොමු කර ඇත. ඒ අනුව අධ්‍යක්ෂක ත්‍රස්ථ මර්ධන කොට්ඨාසය විසින් අදාළ තොරතුරු මා වෙත ලබා දී ඇත.

01. ප්‍රශ්නය -

2019.04.21 දින සිට 2022.11.04 දින දක්වා සාක්ෂි ප්‍රහාරය හා ඒ ආශ්‍රිත සිදු වීම් සම්බන්ධයෙන් නඩු පවරා වරදකරුවන් වුවත් කොපමණ සිටීද?

පිලිතුර - 11

02. ප්‍රශ්නය -

සාක්ෂි ප්‍රහාරය සම්බන්ධයෙන් අධි වෛද්‍යා පත්‍ර ගොනු කර ඇති නඩු සංඛ්‍යාව කොපමණද?

පිලිතුර - 36

2019.04.21 වන දින සිට 2022.11.04 දින දක්වා සාක්ෂි ඉරුදින ප්‍රහාරය සහ ඒ ආශ්‍රිත සිදුවීම් සම්බන්ධයෙන් මහාධිකරණ වල නඩු පවරා ඇති වූ දින සිත් සම්බන්ධව

අනු අංකය	මහාධිකරණය හා නඩු අංකය	වූ දිනගේ නම
1	හම්පහ 251/2020	මොහොමඩ් ඉමාඩ් ඉබ්නිසාම් ෆාකීර්
2	මහනුවර 32/2021	මොයිකුද්දීන් ඉස්සාන් අහමඩ්
3	පුත්තලම TAB 107 /2021	අබු හනීෆා මොහොමඩ් මුසිස් හෙවත් මුසිස් හෙවත් අබු දඹලා
4	පුත්තලම TAB 107 /2021	අමීර් හමීඩා මොහොමඩ් හමාස් හෙවත් හමාස් හෙවත් අබු සාලියා
5	පුත්තලම TAB 107 /2021	මොහොමඩ් සවිසු අදාම් ලෙබ්බෙ හෙවත් ගල්ලු මාමා හෙවත් ගල්ලු නානා හෙවත් අබු හදීද්
6	පුත්තලම TAB 107 /2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්‍රහීම් සාදීන් අබ්දුල්ලා හෙවත් සාදීන් හෙවත් සාදීන් අබ්දුල්ලා හෙවත් අබු උමර්
7	පුත්තලම TAB 107 /2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්‍රහීම් මොහොමඩ් නවුෆර් හෙවත් නවුෆර් මවුලට් හෙවත් අබු අසයිද්
8	පුත්තලම TAB 107 /2021	මොහොමඩ් රමීෂ් මොහොමඩ් සාරීද් හෙවත් සාරීද් මවුලට් හෙවත් අබු නාෆා
9	කෑගල්ල 4409/2020	හයානු මොහොමඩ් අහමඩ් මිල්හාන්
10	කෑගල්ල 4409/2020	මොහොමඩ් ජේෂ් අදාම් ලෙබ්බෙ මොහොමඩ් ගල්ලු මහනා
11	කෑගල්ල 4409/2020	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්‍රහීම් සාහීඩ් අබ්දුල් හන්
12	කෑගල්ල TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් අදුෆර් මොහොමඩ් අස්සාන් හෙවත් අස්සාන්



13	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් ෆය්සල් මොහොමඩ් මුල්කී හෙවත් මුල්කී
14	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් අක්බාර් මොහොමඩ් මුල්කී හෙවත් මුල්කී
15	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් සුනීල් ජයවර්ධන ඉරිඟි හෙවත් ඉරිඟි
16	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් අක්බාර් අනික් අනමඩ් හෙවත් අනික්
17	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	නජීබුදීන් මොහොමඩ් පවුෂාන් හෙවත් පවුෂාන්
18	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	රමීඩ් මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම් හෙවත් ඊබ්නිම් මවුලා හෙවත් ඊබ්නිම් සර්
19	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම් මොහොමඩ් නවුෆ් හෙවත් නවුෆ් මවුලා හෙවත් අබු සෙයිද්
20	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් රමීෂ් මොහොමඩ් සාරිද් නොහොත් සාරිද් මවුලා හෙවත් අබු නජා
21	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම් සාදික් අබ්දුල්ලා හෙවත් සාදික් හෙවත් සාදික් අබ්දුල්ලා හෙවත් අබු උමර්
22	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම් සාහිඩ් අබ්දුල්ලා හන් හෙවත් සාහිඩ් හෙවත් අබු ෆාට්මා
23	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් ජයවර්ධන හනීෆා සෙයිඩුල්ලා ආබ්දුල් හෙවත් අබු හිෂා හෙවත් අබුල් හිෂාන් හෙවත් රතු රවුෆ් ආබ්දුල්ලා
24	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	හිස්බුල්ලා ඩාන් හමීද් අහමඩ් හෙවත් හමීද්
25	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	හයාතු මොහොමඩ් අහමඩ් මීල්හාන් හෙවත් අබු ශිෆා හෙවත් මීල්හාන්
26	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	මොහොමඩ් හනීෆා හාජා මොහොමඩ් හෙවත් හාජා හොනීදීන්
27	කැමැත්තේදී TAB 4485/2021	හනාන් ආමීන්දීන් හෙවත් හනාන්
28	පුත්තලම 78/2021	හිජාස් සමර් හිස්බුල්ලා
29	පුත්තලම 78/2021	සලීම්හාන් මොහොමඩ් සාහිඩ්
30	කොළඹ TAB 2900/2021	සුජිත ජයසුන්දර
31	කොළඹ TAB 2899/2021	ජේමසිරි පුනාන්දු
32	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මුහම්මද් ඊබ්නිම් මොහොමඩ් නවුෆ් හෙවත් නවුෆ් මවුලා හෙවත් අබු සෙයිද් හෙවත් අබු ජාමීම්
33	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් සාරිබ් අබ්දුල්ලා හෙවත් මොහොමඩ් සරීම් අබ්දුල්ලා හෙවත් හසුර් මාමා හෙවත් අබු හදීද්
34	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	හයාතු මොහොමඩ් අහමඩ් මීල්හාන් අබු ශිෆා
35	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම් සාදික් අබ්දුල්ලා හෙවත් අබු උමර්
36	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම් සාහිඩ් අබ්දුල්ලා හන් හෙවත් අබු ෆාට්මා
37	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් අක්බාර් මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම් හෙවත් අබු හාමීස්
38	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් මන්සූර් මොහොමඩ් සනාදීන් හෙවත් සනාදීන් හෙවත් අබු මිසාන්
39	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	අබ්දුල් මනාල් මොහොමඩ් පිරිසිදු
40	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් රමීෂ් මොහොමඩ් සාරිද් හෙවත් අබු නජා හෙවත් සාරිද්
41	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	අබ්දුල්ලා හික් මොහොමඩ් සාරි හෙවත් සාරි මවුලා හෙවත් අබු ෆාට්මා
42	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	ඉබ්නිම් ඊබ්නිම් සාරිද් සමීර්
43	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් ස්වබ් මොහොමඩ් හසන් හෙවත් අබු ආබ්ද්
44	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඉබ්නිම් මොහොමඩ් ඉන්සාන් හෙවත් අබු හොමොමඩ්
45	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	රමීඩ් මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම්
46	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් හනීෆා සෙයිඩුල්ලා ආබ්දුල් හෙවත් අබු හිෂා
47	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් මුස්තාෆා මොහොමඩ් හාරිස් හෙවත් අබු නජාර් සාර්
48	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	සාහිම් ඩාවා අබ්දුල්ලා හෙවත් සාහිම් ඩාවා අබ්දුල්ලා
49	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	රාසීස් රාසා ඉබ්නිම්
50	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	කවරි මොහොමඩ් මොහොමඩ් ජේමසිරි හෙවත් අබු අබ්දුල්ලා මවුලා
51	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	සෙයිඩුල්ලා ආබ්දුල්ලා මොහොමඩ් ජසීම්
52	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් මුස්තාෆා මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්නිම්
53	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මීරාසහිම් මොහොමඩ් නාලි හෙවත් අබු සහා හෙවත් නාලි මුදර් හෙවත් සහේදර නාලි
54	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් අමීර් මොහොමඩ් අයන්තුල්ලා හෙවත් අබු අයිස් ඊබ්නිම්
55	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් අන්සාර්ඩීන් මොහොමඩ් ඉල්මි හෙවත් හිල්මි
56	කොළඹ TAB 2972/2021	මොහොමඩ් අනුම් අහමඩ්
57	පුත්තලම 148/2021	මොහොමඩ් ජේමසිරි මොහොමඩ් අහමඩ් හෙවත් අහමඩ් ජේමසිරි හෙවත් මන්නාර්මුද් අහමඩ්
58	කුටුම්භක 69/2021	මොහොමඩ් රෆාඒන් මොහොමඩ් අලි
59	කුටුම්භක 75/2021	අබ්දුල්ලා කාදර් මොහොමඩ් ආසීම් හෙවත් මොහොමඩ් අබ්දුල්ලා කාදර් මොහොමඩ් ආසීම් හෙවත් අබු අමීර්
60	කුටුම්භක 75/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඩාවා මොහොමඩ් රූමි නොහොත් සොලොන්නාරූමි රූමි
61	ගාල්ල 5673/2021	අබ්දුල්ලා කාදර් මොහොමඩ් සමීර්

62	නුවරඑළිය 78/2021	නස්සුල්ලා කාන් හම්දි අතමඩ
63	කල්මුණේ 653/2021	අබ්දුල් කාදර් ෆාතිමා හාදියා (ගැ)
64	මහනුවර 301/2021	මොහොමඩ් ෆාරුක් මොහොමඩ් මදු හිලාම්
65	මඩකලපුව 3437/2021	මොහොමඩ් ෆාරුක් මොහොමඩ් මදු හිලාම්
66	නුවරඑළිය 88/2021	මුහම්මද් සාහිර් මුහම්මද් අන්සන්
67	මඩකලපුව TAB 3421/2021	මොහොමඩ් සහීර් ආදම් ලොබ් මොහොමඩ් ගදුර් මාමා
68	මඩකලපුව TAB 3421/2021	අබ්දුල් මනාල් මොහොමඩ් පිරිදුසුන්
69	මඩකලපුව TAB 3421/2021	හමීසා මොහොමඩ් මොහොමඩ් මදු ඉම්රාන්
70	මඩකලපුව TAB 3421/2021	හයාතු මොහොමඩ් අතමඩ මිල්හාන්
71	ගාල්ල 5749/2022	මොහොමඩ් අමීන් මොහොමඩ් ආදිල්
72	පුත්තලම 188/2021	මොහොමඩ් නසීර්ඩීන් මොහොමඩ් වසීර්
73	පුත්තලම 188/2021	සලීන්කාන් මොහොමඩ් සහීර්
74	පුත්තලම 188/2021	මොහොමඩ් ජවුද් පුත්තලම හමීම්
75	කොළඹ TAB 3072/2021	මොහොමඩ් යුසුෆ් මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්‍රාහීම්
76	කොළඹ TAB 3072/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්‍රාහීම් ඉස්මයිල් අතමඩ
77	කොළඹ TAB 3072/2021	මොහොමඩ් ඊබ්‍රාහීම් ඉස්මයිල් අතමඩ
78	මහනුවර 95/2022	මොහොමඩ් ඔසීර් මොහොමඩ් පස්හාන් අබු සෙයිලානී
79	කුලියාපිටිය 73/2022	මීහාර්දීන් මොහොමඩ් යුසුෆ් නොහොන් අබු සදාර්
80	කල්මුණේ 671/2022	නාගුර් කමීර් අබුසකර්
81	හම්බන්තොට 44/2022	මොහොමඩ් හුසෙන් අබ්දුල් කාදර් නොහොන් මොහොමඩ් මදු හුසන් අබ්දුල් කාදර්
82	නුවරඑළිය 51/2022	අබ්දුල් හාන් මොහොමඩ් සමීර්
83	නුවරඑළිය 52/2022	අබ්දුල් කාදර් මොහොමඩ් අජමීර්
84	මහනුවර 142/2022	උමෙයිර් මොහොමඩ් ඉස්මා
85	අම්පාර මහාධිකරණය නවුට් කල්මුණේ මහාධිකරණය වෙත මාරු කර ඇත	සාඩුල් හමීඩ් හමීන් මොහොමඩ් නොහොන් සියාම් නොහොන් අබු හසන්
86	නුවරඑළිය 57/2022	මොහොමඩ් නවුෆර් පස්නී අතමඩ නොහොන් අබු තුනීන්
87	කොළඹ 3886/2022	මොහොමඩ් පිසාන් සන්තාර්
88	කොළඹ 3886/2022	සෙයාද් අබුබාසි මොහොමඩ් අස්රාස්
89	කොළඹ 3886/2022	ආසෙයිලාන් රවීන්ද්‍රාන් නොහොන් මොහොමඩ් ඉසාන්
90	කොළඹ 3886/2022	මොහොමඩ් ඉසාන් මොහොමඩ් නිලාසිදීන්
91	කොළඹ 3886/2022	සේන් පරීඩ් මොහොමඩ් පවුසි
92	කොළඹ 3886/2022	මොහොමඩ් මොයිනුදීන් මුසා නොහොන් අබ්දුල්ලා
93	හම්බන්තොට 61/2022	නීනාරු මුහම්මදීන් මොහොමඩ් අසීම්
94	මඩකලපුව 3476/2022	නීනාරු මුහම්මදීන් මොහොමඩ් අසීම්
95	කොළඹ 3885/2022	මොහොමඩ් මොහොමඩ් පියාස්



ඩී. ඩී. පී. ප්‍රසාද්  
 පොලිස්පති  
 (නම් කල නිලධාරී)

ඩී. ඩී. පී. ප්‍රසාද්  
 පොලිස් ප්‍රධානියා  
 කොළඹ 01

පිටපත - සකුණිකා ඩී පුමනිපාල මිය, 01/15/06/02/2022 දරණ ලිපිය හා සබැඳිව කාරුණික දැනගැනීම් සඳහා  
 (විකාරාලයේ පිටපත)  
 (විකාරාලයේ පිටපත)



EA INFO

Contact us on 011 269 5425 | [info@easterattack.info](mailto:info@easterattack.info)

<https://easterattack.info/>